

Retraction Announcement

The following manuscript has been retracted from our November – December, 2016 issue. It has been retracted on a request from the authors as they forgot to properly acknowledge the source of their data. The authors are grateful to those who pointed out this mistake. - *Editor*
Retraction in: Pak J Med Sci 2016;32(6):1500-1505. doi: <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.326.11460>
Link: <http://pjms.com.pk/index.php/pjms/article/view/11460/4800>

Original Article

Effective role of lady health workers in immunization of children in Pakistan

Saira Afzal¹, Azka Naeem², Unaiza Shahid³,
Wajiha Noor Syed⁴, Urva Khan⁵, Nayyar Misal Zaidi⁶

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the association of Lady Health Worker's role with immunization of children in Pakistan.

Methods: Secondary analysis was conducted on data obtained from Pakistan's Demographic and Health Survey. Children who did not receive all doses of vaccines were considered incompletely immunized or vice versa. The association between determinants was assessed by simple and multivariable binary logistic regression.

Results: The mothers and fathers had a mean age of 32.7 (SD+8.6) years and 37.9 (SD +10.1) years, respectively. Age of mother greater than 35 (OR=0.93; 95% CI: 0.70-1.25); born in Baluchistan (OR=3.47, 95% CI: 2.21-5.49); rural area dwellers (OR=2.04; 95% CI:1.65-2.51); female gender (OR=1.06; 95% CI: 0.87-1.29); birth order (of last born child) greater than 7 (OR=2.21, 95% CI:1.60-3.06); delivered at home (OR=2.20, 95% CI:1.76-2.74); long distance to health care facility (OR=2.66, 95% CI:2.16-3.28); and no LHW visit in last 12 months (OR=1.91, CI:1.48-2.47) were significantly associated with incomplete immunization in bivariate analysis. In final model of multinomial regression analysis the absence of visit by LHW in last 12 months was the most significant factor when all factors were analyzed in last model.

Conclusions: This study has concluded that visit by LHW in last 12 months was significantly associated with immunization.

KEYWORDS: Lady health worker program, Immunization, Determinants.

doi: <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.326.11460>

How to cite this:

Afzal S, Naeem A, Shahid U, Syed WN, Khan U, Zaidi NM. Effective role of lady health workers in immunization of children in Pakistan. Pak J Med Sci. 2016;32(6):1500-1505. doi: <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.326.11460>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the past decade was supposed to be "a

decade of vaccines." The goal, however, has yet to be fully accomplished. Universally, WHO efforts have reduced the global burden of under-five deaths from 12 million to 6.6 million. Despite this progress, Pakistan ranked 26th internationally for under-five mortalities, with an under-five mortality rate of 86 per thousand live births. Furthermore, Pakistan has a neonatal mortality rate of 42 per thousand live births, and an infant mortality rate of 69 per thousand live births.¹ In response to this health need, WHO introduced the Expanded Program of Immunization to address the leading cause of these mortalities, vaccine-preventable diseases, and to ultimately achieve the Millennium Development Goal 4 (reduce child mortality).² Despite the universal acceptance of this program, equal distribution of immunization rates has still not been achieved globally. Potential causes include lack of commu-

1. Dr. Saira Afzal, MBBS, MPhil, MCPS, FCPS, PhD
Post Doctoral Fellow (US), Chairperson and Head,
Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Public Health,
 2. Azka Naeem (MBBS Student KEMU)
 3. Unaiza Shahid (MBBS Student KEMU)
 4. Wajiha Noor Syed (MBBS student KEMU)
 5. Urva Khan (UK Student KEMU)
 6. Nayyar Misal Zaidi (MBBS Student KEMU)
- 1-6: King Edward Medical University, Lahore, Pakistan.

Correspondence:

Dr. Saira Afzal, MBBS, MPhil, MCPS, FCPS, PhD.
E-mail: sairamust@gmail.com
sairamust@kemu.edu.pk

- * Received for Publication: September 11, 2016
- * Revision Received: September 20, 2016
- * Accepted for Publication: October 22, 2016

nity awareness and participation, inaccessibility of health facilities, and social problems.

To tackle all these problems, the government of Pakistan launched the Lady Health Workers program in conservative rural and under-developed communities. Conservative communities didn't allow the strangers and outsiders to interact with their women and children. A study in Pakistan in 2007 stated that 66% of children were incompletely immunized due to birth at home, demonstrating the need for intensive community outreach.³ The program workers deliver door-to-door preventive and curative services to vulnerable communities. In response to the program, 60% of the total rural population of Pakistan covered by the LHW program showed significantly better health indicators.⁴ Furthermore, a randomized control trial conducted in seven subdistricts of Pakistan in 2005 showed that intervention group receiving health facilities by LHWs showed lesser perinatal and maternal deaths.⁵ Similarly, a survey conducted by United States Agency for International Development (USAID) in November 2012 demonstrated that there was increased coverage of fully immunized children among poorer households.⁶

Despite its initial success, LHW program eventually faced challenges and struggled to meet targeted immunization rates. A survey conducted in Karachi in 2008 revealed the LHW program was plagued by lack of incentives, restricted mobility of health workers, lack of interest of doctors and staff, flaws in monitoring of regular vaccination and job dissatisfaction.⁷ A cross-sectional study conducted in Rawalpindi 2008 described low pay, long travel distances, inconsistent medical supplies, inadequate stipends and lack of career structure as common causes of job dissatisfaction among LHWs.⁸

As majority of Pakistan's population is of rural dwellers, we need to augment and promote the Lady Health worker program, LHWs perform vital roles in immunization campaigns such as the Polio Eradication Initiative and Supplemental Immunization Activities. Hence, this study to emphasize the indispensable role of LHWs incomplete immunization coverage of children and critically analyzes the problems encountered in implementation of LHW program.

METHODS

We conducted secondary analyses of data from Pakistan Demographic Survey (PDHS) 2012-13. PDHS is a cross-sectional survey, funded by USAID. The survey was done to have unbiased information on health-related variables including im-

munization, maternal and child health, nutritional status of mothers and children, and awareness regarding communicable diseases. It is considered as a nationally representative survey providing estimates free of systematic bias. It was designed to include 13,944 households; however, the final sample size consisted of 12,943 households (96% response rate). The details of methodology of the survey can be accessed elsewhere.⁹

We restricted our research mainly to variables related to child immunization. Our data was limited to mothers with her youngest child between the ages of 12 to 23 months, resulting in a samples size of 3,294. The reason of the selection of this age group was that the course of basic vaccinations (i.e., 12 doses for seven vaccine-preventable disease i.e. VPDs) for children is completed by the age of 9 months, with booster dose of Measles vaccination at the age of 15 months. Secondly, previous studies have also used the same age-group to assess the immunization status of children.¹⁰⁻¹²

The dependent variable, "immunization status" was computed by using twelve doses of 5 vaccines (i.e. polio (4 doses), BCG (1 dose), DPT (3 doses), HBV (3 doses) and measles (1 dose)). We selected twelve variables, BCG, polio (0,1,2,3), DPT (1,2,3), HBV (1,2,3) and measles. These variables each had four response categories: No vaccination date on card, reported by mother, vaccination marked on card and don't know (DK). Each variable was coded. No vaccination on card and DK responses were recorded as "0" and considered as "not received the vaccine", while other responses "vaccination date on card, reported by mother" were recorded as "1" and considered as "received the vaccine". Later, we considered twelve vaccine variables and assigned each child an immunization status". The immunization status was recorded as: "1" for "complete immunization" and "0" for "incomplete immunization". "Complete immunization" was defined having received one dose of BCG vaccine against tuberculosis; three doses of DPT vaccine to prevent diphtheria, Pertussis, and tetanus (DPT); at least three doses of polio vaccine; and one dose of measles vaccine. "Incomplete immunization" was defined as having missed any or all doses of vaccines. This categorization method was designed along previous research studies definitions.¹³⁻¹⁵

The independent variables used in our study were established on an extensive literature review,¹³⁻¹⁵ They included: mother's age, father's age, mother's education, father's education, father's occupation, wealth index, sex of child, birth order of child, sin-

Table-I: Frequency distribution and percentages of study variables.

S.	Variables	Participants		
		Incomplete immunization N (weighted%)	Complete immunization N (weighted%)	Total
1.	Mother's age (years)			
	>35	420[20.7]	217[18.7]	637[20]
	25-34	1135[56]	683[58.8]	1818[57]
	15-24	741[23.3]	261[22.5]	3188[23]
	Total	2027[100]	1161[100]	3188
2.	Father's Age (years)			
	>35	943[47.2]	547[47.5]	1490[47]
	25-34	921[46.1]	539[46.8]	1460[46]
	15-24	134[6.7]	65[5.7]	200[7]
	Total	1998[100]	1152[100]	3151
3.	Mother's Education			
	Higher Level	127[6.3]	176[15.2]	303[10]
	Up to Secondary Level	277[13.7]	326[28.1]	604[19]
	Up to Primary Level	328[16.2]	190[16.4]	519[16]
	Total	1293[63.8]	467[40.3]	1761[55]
4.	Father's Education			
	Higher Level	264[13.1]	265[23.0]	530[17]
	Up to Secondary Level	629[31.2]	459[39.6]	1088[34]
	Up to Primary Level	320[16.0]	166[14.4]	486[15]
	Total	800[39.7]	266[23.0]	1067[34]
5.	Father's Occupation			
	Management/Professional	121[6.0]	133[11.5]	255[8]
	Clerical/Service	469[23.2]	305[26.3]	774[24]
	Manual/Worker	993[49.0]	591[51.0]	1584[50]
	Total	399[19.7]	121[10.4]	521[16]
6.	Place of Residence			
	Urban	42[2.1]	9[0.8]	51[2]
	Rural	2027[100]	1160[100]	3188
	Total	509[25.1]	471[40.6]	981[31]
7.	Sex of Child			
	Male	1517[74.9]	689[59.4]	2207[69]
	Female	2027[100]	1161[100]	3188
	Total	509[25.1]	471[40.6]	981[31]
8.	Birth Order			
	1-3	883[48.5]	615[52.9]	1598[52]
	4-6	1051[51.5]	546[47.1]	1597[48]
	>7	2027[100]	1161[100]	3188
9.	Wealth Index			
	Rich	2027[100]	1161[100]	3188
	Middle	574[28.3]	618[53.3]	1193[37]
	Poor	359[17.7]	230[19.8]	590[19]
	Total	1092[53.3]	722[62.6]	1405[44]
10.	Access to Information			
	Yes	2027[100]	1161[100]	3188
	No	913[47.4]	424[28.5]	1227[41]
	Total	1011[52.6]	788[71.5]	1799[59]
11.	Region			
	Islamabad	7[0.4]	7[0.6]	14[0.5]
	Punjab	963[47.5]	874[75.3]	1765[55]
	Sindh	584[28.8]	144[12.4]	752[24]
	KPK	321[15.9]	145[12.5]	490[15]
	Balochistan	132[6.5]	61[5.3]	143[5]
	Gilgit[Baltistan]	17[0.9]	4[0.3]	22[0.5]
	Total	2027[100]	1161[100]	3188
12.	Place of Delivery			
	Private hospital	653[32.3]	521[45.0]	1154[36]
	Public Hospital	244[12.1]	231[19.9]	475[15]
	Home	1123[55.6]	408[35.1]	1531[48]
	Total	2020[100]	1161[100]	3182
13.	Use of Antenatal Care			
	Yes	1378[68.2]	976[84.1]	2354[74]
	No	643[31.8]	184[15.9]	828[26]
	Total	2022[100]	1160[100]	3182
14.	Twin Births			
	Single	2014[99.4]	1151[99.1]	3165[99.3]
	>1	12[0.6]	10[0.9]	22[0.7]
	Total	2027[100]	1161[100]	3188
15.	LHW visit in last 12 months			
	Yes	683[51.7]	635[68.8]	1319[59]
	No	639[48.3]	288[31.2]	928[41]
	Total	1323[100]	924[100]	2248
16.	Visited Health Facility			
	Yes	1676[82.9]	992[85.5]	2669[84]
	No	347[17.1]	168[14.5]	515[16]
	Total	2023[100]	1161[100]	3185
17.	Distance From Health Care Delivery			
	Not a big problem	1075[53.2]	872[75.2]	1947[61]
	Big problem	945[46.8]	288[24.8]	1233[39]
	Total	2020[100]	1160[100]	3181

gle or multiple gestation, place of residence, years since first cohabitation, access to information, use of antenatal care, place of delivery, respondent's visit to health care facility in last 12 months and distance of health care facility.

Statistical Analysis: The data was analyzed by Statistical Package for Social Scientists version 21. Descriptive statistics of children with complete and incomplete immunization were presented as frequency distributions and percentages.

The relationship between all independent variables and outcome of interest (i.e. incomplete immunization) was computed by simple binary logistic regression. The *p*-values, *p*-values and 95% confidence interval calculated for association between dependent variable and each independent variable.

The logistic regression was used to assess the relationship between immunization status and visit of LHW in last 12 months. In model 1, multiple multinomial logistic regressions were carried out to adjust for different independent variables. In models two and three, the association of interest were assessed by adjusting for demographic variables of the mother and father (age, education, wealth index), respectively. The relationship was also assessed in model 4 by adjusting for place of residence and region. In model 5, we adjusted for the independent variables related to child (Birth Order, gender and multi-gestation). All the maternal-related independent variables were adjusted in the model 6 (place of delivery and health care facility). Model 7, our final model, evaluated the association of interest by including all the independent variables. We also assessed the multicollinearity between the variables and highly correlated variables were eliminated from the final model. Multicollinearity was assessed between mother's age and between wealth index and residence, through Pearson correlation, and it was significant at the 0.01 level, so the variables of father's age and residence were eliminated from the final model. All the analyses were done by using sample weights to produce nationally representative estimates.

RESULTS

The mothers and fathers had a mean age of 32.7 (SD+8.6) years and 37.9 (SD +10.1) years, respectively. The number of incompletely immunized children increased as the education of the parents decreased. Children were more likely to be incompletely immunized if father's age was 35 years or more and occupation was manual worker (49%). Children with higher birth order (7 or more), female

child (51%), rural place of residence (74.9%) and those belong to low (poor) wealth index (53.9%) were incompletely immunized. (Table-I)

Those respondents who were not visited by LHW during the last 12 months and place of delivery was home had incompletely immunized children. The odds of incomplete immunization were high during bivariate analysis for the following variables: low wealth index (OR=3.77, 95% CI: 2.99-4.74); having illiterate fathers (OR=3.01, 95% CI: 2.25-4.03); unemployed fathers (OR=4.70; 95% CI: 2.14-10.29); father's age greater than 35 (OR= 1.18; 95% CI: 0.75-1.87); mother with no formal education (OR=3.81; 95% CI: 2.73-5.33); no access to information (OR=2.26; 95% CI: 1.82-2.81); having autonomy in health care decisions; no antenatal care (OR= 2.47; 95% CI: 1.92-3.19) multiple pregna (OR=1.04; 95% CI: 0.30-3.6), age of mother greater than 35 yrs (OR=0.93; 95% CI: 0.70-1.25); born in Baluchistan (OR=3.47, 95% CI: 2.21-5.49); rural area dwellers (OR=2.04; 95% CI: 1.65-2.51); female gender (OR=1.06; 95% CI: 0.87-1.29); birth order (of last born child) greater than 7 (OR=2.21, 95% CI: 1.60-3.06); delivered at home (OR=2.20, 95% CI: 1.76-2.74); long distance to health care facility (OR=2.66, 95% CI: 2.16-3.28); and no LHW visit in last 12 months (OR=1.91, CI: 1.48-2.47). In final model of regression analysis, we evaluated the association of interest by including all the independent variables. Multicollinearity was assessed between mother's age and between wealth index and residence through Pearson correlation, and it was significant at the 0.01 level, so the variables of father's age and residence were eliminated from the final model. The absence of visit by LHW in last 12 months was the most significant factor when all risk factors were analyzed in final model (Table-II).

DISCUSSION

The concept of Lady health workers (LHW) originated from the "Barefoot doctor" of Maotie Tung of China.¹⁶ Hundreds of rural peasants were given basic training and were assigned medical and sanitation duties to overcome the misdistribution of health care facilities. Following the development of the program, the declaration of Alma Ata in September 1978 declared health as a human right.¹⁷ Community health workers have since provided the community with primary health care, to achieve universal health coverage.

Community health workers have several important duties to perform but vaccinating infants against childhood communicable diseases is one of the most cost-effective public health interventions.¹⁷

Pakistan has the largest community health worker program in the world that includes more than a 1,00,000 LHWs.¹⁸ LHWs in Pakistan are playing the most important role in delivering primary health care to mothers and infants and family planning services throughout the country. Every year, thousands of children lost their life to diseases that can be prevented by vaccines. We found that the most significant factor resulting in incomplete immunization of infants was the absence of LHWs in the last 12 months. LHWs serve as the major source of information for mothers, as mothers are more comfortable talking with other women. A study cite that the main source of information among mothers of rural Nigerian immunizations was health care workers (72.7%).¹⁹ Our study indicated that LHWs play an important role in decreasing the number of incompletely immunized children. The same idea was supported by a study that showed that the percentage of incompletely immunized children has decreased from 47% in 2001-2002 to 22% in 2008-2009 in part due to the efforts of LHWs.²⁰ The program's success was due to its cost effectiveness, good penetration, high acceptability in community, free interaction with women and households.²¹ However, a study done by Ashlesha and Arnab in 2007 in rural India contradicts this idea by stating that the presence of community health workers in a village was not associated with increase in the immunization coverage.²²

Reducing child mortality and improving maternal health were two important goals in the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) set forth by the United Nations in 2000.²² Of the approximately 4 million neonatal deaths and half a million maternal deaths worldwide each year, LHWs have contributed in decreasing the infant mortality and maternal mortality rates. In 2006, a study in Punjab province of Pakistan showed that the LHWs contributed to a reduction in infant mortality rate from 250 to 79 per 1, 00,000 live births by health education, family planning and immunization.²³ In a study done in rural northern India, introduction of a large-scale community-based integrated nutrition and health program reduced neonatal mortality rates in those receiving postnatal home visits by health care workers.²⁴

Low maternal education level is an important cause of incomplete immunization of children. Our study showed that children of uneducated mothers were more at risk of being incompletely immunized. A study done in Karachi, Pakistan also showed that mothers' low educational level was associated with low rates of immunization cover-

Table II: Multinomial Regression Analysis to find the association of Lady Health Worker with immunization

P-value	Multinomial Logistic Regression	
	Model	OR (95% CI)
	Model 1	2.06 [1.62, 2.63]
	Model 2	2.17 [1.70, 2.77]
	Model 3	2.13 [1.67, 2.73]
	Model 4	2.13 [1.67, 2.72]
	Model 5	1.99 [1.55, 2.56]
	Model 6	2.19 [1.71, 2.80]
	Model 7	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 8	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 9	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 10	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 11	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 12	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 13	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 14	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 15	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 16	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 17	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 18	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 19	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 20	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 21	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 22	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 23	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 24	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 25	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 26	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 27	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 28	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 29	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 30	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 31	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 32	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 33	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 34	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 35	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 36	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 37	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 38	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 39	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 40	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 41	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 42	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 43	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 44	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 45	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 46	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 47	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 48	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 49	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 50	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 51	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 52	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 53	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 54	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 55	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 56	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 57	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 58	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 59	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 60	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 61	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 62	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 63	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 64	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 65	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 66	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 67	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 68	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 69	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 70	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 71	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 72	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 73	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 74	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 75	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 76	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 77	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 78	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 79	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 80	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 81	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 82	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 83	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 84	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 85	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 86	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 87	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 88	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 89	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 90	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 91	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 92	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 93	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 94	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 95	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 96	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 97	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 98	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 99	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]
	Model 100	1.91 [1.48, 2.47]

age.²⁵ Another study in Nigeria showed that 61.1% of women without any formal education had incompletely immunized their children compared to 13.1% of women with secondary education.² In Uganda, a study showed the percentage of incompletely immunized children decreased with lower maternal education.²⁷ On the contrary, in Egypt it was demonstrated that 53.6% of higher-educated mothers did not give vaccination on schedule compared with 50% of illiterate mothers.²⁸

Distance from the health facility was also found to be an important variable as people living at long distance from the health facility were less likely to get their children vaccinated. The study of Root et al. supported our results by showing that distance to health services negatively affects local level vaccine efficacy.⁹ However, another study done in Dschang, West Region, Cameroon cites that long distance from the health care facility is only marginally significant ($p = .05$).³⁰

Hence, the major risk factor associated with incomplete immunization of children after statistically controlling all other risk factors was absence of Lady Health Worker in the area. These strategies should be improved to produce better immunization against preventable diseases.

CONCLUSION

Visit of LHW in last 12 months was significantly associated with immunization status of children below two years of age in Pakistan. Measures should be taken for immunization by expanding the LHW program to under developed areas around the globe. More focused training, incentives for routine immunization promotion, sustainability of on-going programs and initiation of newer programs will help improve immunization and reduce refusal rates for vaccines globally especially underprivileged areas.

REFERENCES

1. The Express Tribune. Child Mortality: Pakistan tough place for children to stay alive [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <http://tribune.com.pk/story/603985/child-mortality-pakistan-tough-place-for-children-to-stay-alive-says-report/>
2. Millennium Development Goal 4 [Internet]. UNDP. 2013 [cited 9 April 2016]. Available from: http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/mdgoverview/mdg_goals/mdg4.html
3. Bugvi A, Rahat R, Zakar R, Zakar M, Fischer F, Nasrullah M et al. Factors associated with non-utilization of child immunization in Pakistan: evidence from the Demographic and Health Survey 2006-07. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14(1):232.
4. Hafeez A, Mohamad B, Riaz M. Lady health workers programme in Pakistan: challenges, achievements and the way forward. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2011;61(3):210-215.
5. Jokhio A, Winter H, Cheng K. An Intervention Involving Traditional Birth Attendants and Perinatal and Maternal Mortality in Pakistan. *New Eng J Med*. 2005;352(20):2091-2099. [4] UNICEF, State of the world Children, 2004, Oxford University Press, New York.
6. USAID Research and Development Solutions: Policy Briefs Series No.3, February 2012 http://resdv.org/files/policy_brief/3/3.pdf retrieved on 12-2-2015

7. Mangrio N, Alam M, Shaikh B. Is Expanded Programme on Immunization doing enough? Viewpoint of Health workers and Managers in Sindh, Pakistan. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2008;58:4-12.
8. Haq Z, Iqbal Z, Rahman A. Job stress among community health workers: a multi-method study from Pakistan. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems* [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2 March 2016;2(15)]. Available from: <http://ijmhs.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1752-4458-2-15>
9. NIPS: Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2006-7. Islamabad: National Institute of Population Studies & Marco International Inc; 2008.
10. Jani JV, Schacht CD, Jani IV, Bjune G. Risk factors for incomplete vaccination and missed opportunity for immunization in rural Mozambique. *BMC Public Health*. 2008;8:161.
11. Tadesse H, Deribrew A, Woldie M. Predictors of defaulting from completion of child immunization in south Ethiopia, May 2008- A case control study. *BMC Public Health*. 2009;9:150.
12. Cockcroft A, Andersson N, Omer K, Ansari NM, Khan A, Chaudhry UU, Ansari U. One size does not fit all: local determinants of measles vaccination in four districts of Pakistan. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. 2009;9(1):S4
13. Rahman M, Obaid-Nasrin S. Factors affecting acceptance of complete immunization coverage of children under five years in rural Bangladesh. *Saludpublica de Mexico*. 2010;52(2):134-140
14. Sanou A, Simboro S, Kouyate B, Dugas M, Graham J, Bibeau G. Assessment of factors associated with complete immunization coverage in children aged 12-23 months: A cross sectional study in Nouna District, Burkina Faso. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. 2009;9(1):S10.
15. Yasin G. Socio-economic factors affecting the trend towards vaccination of mother and infants in Multan city. *Journal of Research Science Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan Pakistan*. 2004;15(1):107-112.
16. Rosenthal MM, Greiner JR. The Barefoot Doctors of China: from political creation to professionalization. *Hum Organ*. Winter. 1982;41(4):330-341
17. World Development Report 1993: Investing in Health. *Commun Dis Rep CDR Wkly*. 1993;3:137.
18. Zhu N, Allen E, Kearns A, Caglia J, Atun R. Lady Health Workers in Pakistan [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2 March 2016]. Available from: <https://cdn2.sph.harvard.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/17/2014/08/HSPH-Pakistan5.pdf>
19. Rammohan A, Awofeso N, Fernandez RC. Paternal education status significantly influences infants' measles vaccination uptake, independent of maternal education status. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12(3):235.
20. Health Infrastructure and Immunization Coverage In Rural India - Proquest. Search.proquest.com. N.p. 2016. Web. 2 Apr. 2016.
21. Kishor S. Countdown 2015 for maternal, newborn, and child survival: the 2008-2013 period on tracking coverage of interventions. *Lancet*. 2008;371:1201-1211.
22. Casey M, Thiedeman K, Klingner J. Are rural residents less likely to obtain recommended preventive healthcare services? *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2011;21(3):182-188.
23. Mary AN, Rosemary S. Status of Vaccination: Community Health Worker. *Public Health News*. 2010;40(4):260-270. 4. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. <http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/86/4/08-030408.pdf>
24. Baqui, Abdullah H. Impact of Integrated Nutrition And Health Programme On Neonatal Mortality In Rural India. *Indian Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2008;7:134-144.
25. Mothers Knowledge About EPI And Its Relation With Age-Appropriate Vaccination Of Infants In Semi-Urban Area. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2016;3(4):12-6.
26. Oyefara J L. Mother's Characteristics And Immunization Status Of Under-Five Children In Ojo Local Government Area, Lagos State, Nigeria. *SAGE Open*. 2014;4(3):46-9.
27. Bbaale E. Factors Influencing Childhood Immunization In Uganda. *Journal of Health Population and Nutrition*. 2013;118;31(1):54-8.
28. Rahman T. Mothers' Awareness And Knowledge Of Under Five Years Children Regarding Immunization In Minia City Egypt. *Research Gate*. 2013. Web. 2 Apr. 2016.
29. Root E, Lucero M, Nohynek H, Anthamatten P, Thomas D, Tallo V et al. Distance to health services affects local-level vaccine efficacy for pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) among rural Filipino children. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2014;111(9):3520-3525.
30. Russo A, Gianluca G. Vaccine Coverage And Determinants Of Incomplete Vaccination In Children Aged 12-23 Months In Dschang, West Region, Cameroon: A Cross-Sectional Survey During A Polio Outbreak. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15(1):16-23.