Original Article

The prevalence of low back pain in Iranian dentists: An epidemiological study

Mohammad Ali Mohseni-Bandpei¹, Nahid Rahmani², Faezeh Halimi³, Muhammad Nazim Farooq⁴

ABSTRACT

Objective: Low back pain (LBP) is one of the most common and prevalent work-related conditions. The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence and risk factors associated with LBP in dentists and to analyze the association between individual and occupational characteristics and LBP.

Methods: Following ethical approval, 300 dentists from Tehran Iran have voluntarily participated. Different questionnaires were completed to collect personal, occupational characteristics and the prevalence and risk factors of LBP. Visual analogue scale and Oswestry disability questionnaires were used to determine pain intensity and level of functional disability.

Results: The results indicated that point, last month, last six month, last year and lifetime prevalence of LBP were 24.6%, 24.9%, 27.7%, 28.1% and 31.4%, respectively. A significant correlation was found between the prevalence of LBP and preventive strategies, general health condition, having an assistant and job satisfaction. Body mass index, age and gender were not significantly correlated with the prevalence of Low back pain

Conclusions: The prevalence of Low back pain in dentists appears to be high. Further studies focusing on the effectiveness of different preventive strategies are recommended.

KEY WORDS: Dentists, Low back pain, Prevalence, Risk Factors.

doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.332.11519

How to cite this:

Mohseni-Bandpei MA, Rahmani N, Halimi F, Farooq MN. The prevalence of low back pain in Iranian dentists: An epidemiological study. Pak J Med Sci. 2017;33(2):280-284. doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.332.11519

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1.	Dr. Mohammad Ali Mohseni-Bandpei, PT, PhD.					
	Pediatric Neurorehabilitation Research Center,					
	University of Social Welfare & Rehat	vilitation Sciences,				
	Tehran, Iran.					
	Visiting Professor, University Institut	e of Physical Therapy,				
	Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, U	niversity of Lahore, Pakistan.				
2.	Dr. Nahid Rahmani, PT, PhD.					
	Assistant Professor, Pediatric Neuror	ehabilitation Research Center.				
	University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences.					
	Evin, Tehran, Iran.	,				
3.	Dr. Faezeh Halimi, MD.					
	Resident of Gynecology, School of Medicine,					
	Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.					
4.	Dr. Muhammad Nazim Faroog, PhD S	cholar.				
	Islamabad College of Physiotherapy,	Rawalpindi, Pakistan.				
		•				
	Correspondence:					
	Dr. Nahid Rahmani PT. PhD					
	E-mail: nahrah2005@vahoo.com					
*	Received for Publication:	September 22, 2016				
*	Revision Received:	February 6, 2017				
*	Povision Accopted:	Fobruary 9, 2017				
	Revision Accepted.	1 coluary 7, 2017				

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is one of the most common and prevalent work-related musculoskeletal disorders in many countries.¹ The life time prevalence of LBP is reported to be high, affecting nearly 80% of people at some time in their adult life, and the point prevalence is ranging from 30% to 50%.² For example, in France more than half of the French population experienced LBP at least one day in the previous 12 months.³ In Iran, LBP is reported to be the most common health problem affecting all population with different prevalence rates, ranging from 17% for school children,⁴ 31.1% for teachers,⁵ 62% for nurses⁶ and 84% for pregnant women⁷ to 84.4% for surgeons.⁸

In a German study, average total back pain cost per patient was estimated to be 1322 per year.⁹ Different reported prevalence rates may be attributed to different methodologies, definition for LBP, definition for point prevalence, small sample size, etc.

As dentists use prolonged sitting and standing during their job, apply awkward posture and repetitive movements, many loads are exerted to the lumbar spine. It is believed that the higher muscular demand may lead to fatigue and consequently increase the risk of LBP in dentists.^{10,11}

In a systematic review, it was reported that the prevalence of general musculoskeletal pain ranges between 64% and 93% and the most prevalent regions for pain in dentists have been shown to be the back (36.3-60.1%).¹⁰ However, despite technical advances, dentists worldwide and particularly in the middle east are still at higher risk of developing LBP.^{12,13}

The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence and risk factors associated with LBP in dentists of Tehran, Iran and to analyze the association between individual and occupational characteristics and LBP.

METHODS

Study design and data collection: This cross sectional study was received approval from the medical ethics committee at the University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Iran. According to the sample size estimation formula for epidemiological studies and the 95% confidence interval, previous reported prevalence of 53%, and 10% error of prevalence rate, 341 subjects were needed which was rounded to 350. Three hundred and fifty dentists from Tehran Iran were randomly recruited. Dentists were included if they were qualified to work as a dentist, had at least one year of work experience and also were willing to participate in the present study. The exclusion criteria were: any history of spinal deformities (e.g. scoliosis), malignancies, rheumatoid arthritis, spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis, any metabolic and respiratory disease, any fracture or tumor, trauma to the lower back and osteoporosis. A written information sheet consisting of information about the aim and the purpose of the study were sent to participants and then they were asked to sign the consent form if they were willing to participate.

Different questionnaires were used to collect the individual and occupational information of the participants. The validated versions of Visual analogue scale (VAS)¹⁴ and Oswestry disability questionnaire (ODI)¹⁵ were used to determine the

pain intensity and the level of functional disability, respectively. The individual and occupational questionnaires consisted of the information about age, height, weight, body mass index, marital status, level of education, years of work experience and working hours per day. Other questions were about the work loads, repetitive movements and using vibration during work, awkward postures, any types of treatment provided each day (surgery, root canal, filling and tooth extraction), prolonged positions (sitting or standing), medical history. All participants were asked to determine if they received any types of treatment. They were categorized into four groups, those being at rest, received medications, physiotherapy and exercises as well as surgery groups.

Data Analyses: Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 20) software (SPSS, Cary, NC). Categorical and numerical variables were studied using cross-tabulation with 95% confidence intervals and X² analysis was used to examine the relationship between two or more variables. The level of statistical significance was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

Of those original sample (N=350), 300 dentists returned the questionnaires (response rate of 86%). Two hundred and fifteen (71.7%) of participants were males and 85 (28.3%) were females. The sample characteristics are shown in Table-I and the epidemiological data collected from dentists is shown in Table-II. The management of LBP for those dentists who received treatment is reported in Table-III. The results of frequencies, Odd's ratio and CIs for predictive factors of life time prevalence of LBP using a logistic regression model are presented in Table-IV. Point, last month, six month, last year and life time prevalence of LBP were 24.6%, 24.9%, 27.7%, 28.1% and 31.4%, respectively.

Table-I: Characteristics of dentists.

Variables	Mean (SD)	Range
Age (years)	41.30(8.43)	28-70
Height (cm)	175.83(8.77)	156-196
Weight (kg)	73.56(12.68)	49-102
BMI (kg/m^2)	27.85(3.67)	18.90-39.01
Years of	12.83(7.46)	1-42
practice (year)		
Working hours	7.25(2.43)	2-16
per day (hour)		

BMI: Body Mass Index, SD: Standard Deviation.

Table-II: Low back pain prevalence of dentists.

Period of prevalence	Prevalence rate
Point prevalence	24.6%
Last month prevalence	24.9%
6 month prevalence	27.7%
Annual prevalence	28.1%
Lifetime prevalence	31.4%

The mean and standard deviation of pain intensity of those dentists who suffered from LBP on VAS were 38.88±19.56 mm. The mean and standard deviation of functional disability on ODI were 39.56%±20.09%.

The results in Table-III shows that the female dentists were more likely to report LBP than men but this was not statistically significant (p=0.29). The results also demonstrated that older dentists (>50

Table-III: Management of low back pain received by dentists.

Procedure/Treatment	No. (Percent)
Rest	11(11.7)
Medication	19(20.21)
Physiotherapy and exercise	59(62.3%)
Surgery	5(5.31)

years old) were more affected than younger ones but this did not reach to a statistically significant level (p=0.41). Similarly, there was no statistically significant correlation between body mass index (BMI) and prevalence of LBP (r=0.23, p=0.13).

According to the results provided in the Table-IV, a significant correlation was found between prevalence of LBP and general health, using preventive strategies, having an assistant

Table-IV: Odds ratio and CIs for predictive factors of life time prevalence of low back pain in dentists

Table-1V: Odds ratio and CIs for predictive factors of life time prevalence of low back pain in dentists.							
Variables	Frequency (%)	Frequency (%)	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence	P-value		
	of total sample	affected by LBP		intervals			
Gender							
Male	215(71.7)	59(27.44)	1.24	0.61-1.25	0.292		
Female	85(28.3)	35(41.17)					
Age(y)							
<40	125(41.7)	31(24.8)	1.11	0.77-1.33	0.411		
41-50	83(27.6)	37(44.57)					
51-60	57(19)	19(33.33)					
>60	35(11.7)	7(20)					
BMI							
< 20	17(6)	2(11.77)	0.97	0.79-2.28	0.071		
20-25	97(32)	25(25.78)					
25-30	156(52)	59(37.83)					
>30	30(10)	7(23.34)					
General Health							
Reported healthy	211(70.3)	46(21.80)	7.14	3.88-11.14	0.000		
Reported unhealthy	89(29.7)	48(53.94)					
Years of practice							
<10	103(34.3)	28(27.18)	0.62	0.56-1.09	0.49		
10-20	129(43)	40(31.1)					
>20	68(22.7)	26(38.24)					
Exercise							
Not exercising	204(68)	68(33.33)	0.91	0.41-1.22	0.091		
Exercising	96(32)	26(27.09)					
Preventive Strategies (PS)							
Without PS	108(36)	43(39.82)	3.01	1.92-5.11	0.022		
With PS	192(64)	51(26.56)					
Assistant							
Without assistant	183(61)	75(84.27)	1.88	0.99-3.33	0.031		
With assistant	117(39)	19(16.23)					
Job satisfaction							
No	11(3.6)	2(18.18)	1.09	0.39-1.06	0.000		
Low	63(21)	23(36.51)					
Moderate	191(63.7)	65(34.03)					
High	35(11.7)	4(11.42)					

CI: Confidence Interval, BMI: Body Mass Index, PS: Preventive Strategies, LBP: Low Back Pain.

and job satisfaction. There was no significant correlation between prevalence of LBP and years of practice (p = 0.49) but dentists who had more than 20 years job experience seem to be more at risk of developing LBP. The results indicated that prevalence of LBP was not significantly correlated to exercise performed by dentists (p=0.09).

DISCUSSION

The results indicated that in the present study the lifetime prevalence of LBP was relatively high in Tehran Iran dentists. A significant correlation was found between the prevalence of LBP and preventive strategies, general health condition, having an assistant and job satisfaction. These results were consistent to the results of the previous epidemiological studies.^{16,17} Many studies have showed that dentists reported more pain in their neck and shoulders.¹⁸ Lower back was the second site of musculoskeletal disorder.

According to the results of the present study age, gender and BMI were not significantly correlated with the prevalence of LBP. But dentists aged between 41-50 years and older reported more pain. Similarly, in a study conducted in South Africa older women (>40 years) experienced more LBP than younger ones (<40 years).¹⁹

The previous studies showed that gender was a risk factor for LBP.²⁰ Female dentists reported more pain in lower back than males but this was not statistically significant.^{20,21} The results of the present study were consistent with other studies which investigated the relationship between gender characteristics of dentists and prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders. For example Leijon O, Mulder M et alconcluded that female were more likely to report LBP than men.²² In contrast, Aasa et al. demonstrated that males reported a higher prevalence of LBP than women.²³ The differences among studies might be due to the different definition of LBP and its symptoms and also population participated.

According to the results of the current study, there was no significant correlation between years of practice and prevalence of LBP but dentists who had more than 20 years of work experience reported more LBP. The results of the present study were similar to the results of the study carried out by Gaowgzehet al.¹⁶

The current study also demonstrated that preventive strategies were negatively associated with the LBP prevalence. Dentists who had not used any preventive strategies such as appropriate set of relaxation and stretching exercises, using assistive devices, using assistant, ergonomic guidance, evaluation of dental equipment and changing postures reported more LBP. Similar to the results of the present study, many studies have found significant correlation between prevalence of LBP and using preventive strategies.²⁴ Also, those dentists who worked without an assistant reported more pain in their lower back. Previous studies have confirmed the results of present study and they explained that working without an assistant was a risk factor for prevalence of LBP.

In the present study job satisfaction was significantly correlated to LBP. The study conducted by Hoogendoorn et al. (2002) concluded that job satisfaction was a risk factor for sickness absence due to LBP.²⁵ Another study carried out by Mohseni Bandpei et al. demonstrated the association between job satisfaction and LBP prevalence in nurses.⁶ Therefore, based on the results of the current study and the previous studies, job dissatisfaction was related to an increased risk for the occurrence of LBP.

However, it seems that addressing possible risk factors in different groups of high risk people for LBP and monitoring the risk factors would be an appropriate and effective way to reduce the impact of the problem.

Limitations and future studies: The nature of cross-sectional studies does not provide a good basis for establishing causality and therefore, no causation can be implied in this study. Another limitation is the questionnaire used. Participants may not necessarily answer with perfect accuracy. This may magnify or minimize the effects of certain variables, affecting the study's results. Apart from the limitations inherent to the design of the current study, another limitation of the current study was investigating the prevalence of LBP in dentists with different workloads. It seems that dentists with different levels of workloads might have different working demands and conditions that, in turn, may be a source of bias for the results of this study. The current study was performed on dentists in Tehran province, in Iran. It was assumed that the dentists participating in this study were a representative sample of dentists in Tehran province in Iran. However, this study used a small sample of dentists in only one province, which may not be representative of Iranian dentists. Future study should clearly address this concern. Although this

study investigated the association between the prevalence of LBP and some risk factors, further study with larger and more homogenous sample is needed to confirm these associations. Also, future research with cohort or randomized controlled clinical trial designs should focus on the evaluation of different preventive strategies with a greater emphasis on monitoring the risk factors as well as evaluating the effect of ergonomic factors to reduce the impact of such a major health concern in dentists.

CONCLUSIONS

The prevalence of LBP was relatively high in Iranian dentists. Prolonged sitting and standing, using bad posture and repetitive movements, doing work without any assistant and without rest, not applying any kinds of preventive strategies and using heavy instruments during dental works were identified as factors exerted abnormal loads to the spine and increased risks of musculoskeletal disorders in dentists.

Financial Support: The study was financially supported by the University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences and there is no financial benefit for the authors.

Declaration of interest: None.

RREFERENCES

- Airaksinen O, Brox J, Cedraschi C, Hildebrandt J, Klaber-Moffett J, Kovacs F, et al. Chapter 4 European guidelines for the management of chronic nonspecific low back pain. Eur Spine J. 2006;15:192-300. doi: 10.1007/s00586-006-1072-1
- Jin KAuthor Vitae, Sorock GSAuthor Vitae, Courtney TK. Prevalence of low back pain in three occupational groups in Shanghai, People's Republic of China. J Safety Res. 2004;35:23-28. doi: 10.1016/j. jsr.2003.11.002
- Gourmelen J, Chastang JF, Ozguler A, Lanoë L, Ravaud JF, Leclerc A. Frequency of low back pain among men and women aged 30 to 64 years in France. Results of two national surveys. Ann Readapt Med Phys. 2007;50:640–644. doi:10.1016/j.annrmp.2007.05.009
- Mohseni-Bandpei MA, Bagheri-Nesami M, Shayesteh-Azar M, Nonspecific low back pain in 5000 Iranian school-age children. J Pediatr Orthop. 2007;27(2):126-129 doi:10.1097/ BPO.0b013e3180317a35.
- Mohseni-Bandpei MA, Ehsani F, Behtash H, Ghanipour M. Occupational low back pain in primary and high school teachers: prevalence associated factors. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2014;37:702-708. doi: 10.1016/j.jmpt.2014.05.006
- Mohseni-Bandpei MA, Fakhri M, Shirvani M, Bagheri-Nesami M, Khalilian AR, Shayesteh-Azar M. Occupational back pain in Iranian nurses: an epidemiological study. Br J Nurs. 2006;15(17):914-917. doi:10.12968/bjon.2006.15.17.21904
- Mohseni-Bandpei MA, Fakhri M, Ahmad-Shirvani M, Bagheri-Nesami M, Khalilian AR, Shayesteh-Azar M. Low back pain in 1,100 Iranian pregnant women: prevalence and risk factors. Spine J. 2009;9(10):795-801. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2009.05.012
- Mohseni-Bandpei MA, Shirvani M, Golbabaei N, Behtash H, Shahinfar Z, Fernandez-de-las-Penas C. Prevalence and risk factors associated with low back pain in Iranian surgeons. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2011;34(6):362-370. doi: 10.1016/j.jmpt.2011.05.010.

- Wenig CM, Schmidt CO, Kohlmann T, Schweikert B. Costs of back pain in Germany. Eur J Pain. 2009;13(3):280-268. Doi: 10.1016/j. ejpain.2008.04.005.
- Udoye CI, Aguwa EN. Musculoskeletal symptoms: a survey amongst a selected Nigerian dentists. Int J Dent Sci. 2007;5:1-5.
- Hayes MJ, Cockrell D, Smith DR. A systematic review of musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals. Int J Dent Hyg. 2009;7:159-165. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5037.2009.00395.x.
- Al-Mohrej OA, AlShaalan NS, Al-Bani WM, Masuadi EM, Almodaimegh HS. Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain of the neck, upper extremities and lower back among dental practitioners working in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2016 Jun 20;6(6):e011100. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011100.
- Baig NN, Aleem SA. Occupational Hazards Among Dental Surgeons In Karachi. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2016;26(4):320-322.
- Waterfield J, Sim J. Clinical assessment of pain by visual analogue scale. Br J Ther Rehabil. 1996;3:94-97.
- Fairbank JCT, Pynsent PB. The Oswestry disability index. Spine. 2000;25(22):2940-2953.
- Gaowgzeh RA, Chevidikunnan MF, Al Saif A, El-Gendy S, Karrouf G, Al Senany S. Prevalence of and risk factors for low back pain among dentists. J Phys Ther Sci. 2015;27:2803-2806. doi: 10.1589/ jpts.27.2803.
 Pope-Ford R. A quantitative assessment of low back pain in
- Pope-Ford R. A quantitative assessment of low back pain in dentistry. Procedia Manufacturing. 2015;3:4761-4768. doi: 10.3233/ WOR-141883
- Rahmani N, Amiri M, Mohseni Bandpei MA, Mohsenifar H, Pourahmadi MR. Work related neck pain in Iranian dentists: An epidemiological study. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 2013;26:9-15. doi: 10.3233/BMR-2012-0343.
- Naidoo S, Kromhout H, London L, Naidoo RN, Burdorf A. Musculoskeletal pain in women working in small scale agriculture in South Africa. Am J Ind Med. 2009;1-8.
- Morken T, Moen B, Riise T, Bergum O, Bua L, Vigeland Hauge SH, et al. Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among aluminium workers. Occup Med. 2000;50:414-421. doi: 10.1002/ajim.20662
- Alcouffe J, Manillier P, Brehier M, Fabin C, Faupin F. Analysis by sex of low back pain among workers from small companies in the Paris area: severity and occupational consequences. Occup Environ Med. 1999;56(10):696–701.
- Leijon O, Mulder M. Prevalence of low back pain and concurrent psychological distress over a 16-year period. Occup Environ Med. 2009;66:137-139. doi: 10.1136/oem.2008.040337.
- Aasa U, Barnekow-Bergvist M, Angquist KA, Brulin C. Relationship between work-related factors and disorders in the neck-shoulder and low-back region among female and male ambulance personnel. J OccupHealth. 2005;47:481-489.
- Hayes MJ, Smith DR, Taylor JA. Musculoskeletal disorders and symptom severity among Australian dental hygienists. BMC Res Notes. 2013;4:250-260. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-6-250
- Hoogendoorn WE, Bongers PM, de Vet HC, Ariens GA, van Mechelen W, Bouter LM. High physical work load and low job satisfaction increase the risk of sickness absence due to low back pain: results of a prospective cohort study. Occup Environ Med. 2002;59:323-328.

Authors' Contribution:

MAMB: Concept, design, data collection, statistical analysis, writing and approval of manuscript.

NR: Concept, design, data collection, statistical analysis, interpretation of data and writing of manuscript.

FH: Design, data collection, interpretation of data and writing of manuscript.

MNF: Concept, design, writing and approval of manuscript.