INTRODUCTION

Valve replacement with mechanical prosthesis in the surgical treatment of aortic valve diseases has become a standard procedure for regression of symptoms and normalizing of hemodynamics. Aortic valve involvements generally observed in older patient population in developed countries are mainly of degenerative origin. In developing countries, especially in young groups, rheumatic involvement constitutes most of the aortic valve pathologie. The features, structure and size of the
prosthesis chosen for replacement is essential in the prognosis and hemodynamic improvement of the patient in the long term. The diversity of different prosthesis and various surgical methods raise questions about the most appropriate approach in the selection of techniques and prosthesis to be used, especially in the patient group with narrow aortic annulus.

In this study, effects of 21 and 23 mm bileaflet mechanical prosthesis on hemodynamic performance and functional capacity improvement in young patient group, where alternative prosthesis were not used, were investigated.

**METHODS**

In our patient selection and study protocol clinics, bileaflet mechanical prostheses were implanted in 22 patients (10 male, 12 female; mean age 27±8; range 19-43) who were diagnosed with pure aortic stenosis or stenosis and regurgitation between January 2009 and September 2011. 21 mm prosthesis was implanted in 8 of the patients and 23 mm prosthesis was implanted in fourteen of them. 21 mm St. Jude prosthesis, 23 mm St. Jude prosthesis, 21 Sorin prosthesis and 23 mm Sorin prosthesis was used in five, four, in three and ten patients respectively. Patients who were subjected to mitral valve replacement, mitral and tricuspid reconstruction, coronary bypass and ascending aorta replacement as additional surgical intervention; and patients who were subjected to isolated aortic valve replacement due to pure aortic valve insufficiency and patients with aortic stenosis and large size aortic prosthesis were excluded from the study.

Mean body surface area was 1.8 m², 1.86 m² and 1.73 ±0.25 m² respectively in patients with 21 mm prosthesis, 23 mm prosthesis and for the whole group. New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional capacity was level II in 9 patients and level III in 13 patients. Aortic valve was bicuspid in six patients, calcified in 12 patients while 10 patients had aortic valve stenosis. Surgical technique:

Cardiopulmonary bypass was performed in all patients and venous cannulation was via the right atrial appendage following median sternotomy. Continuous retrograde and discontinuous antegrade isotherm blood cardioplegia was applied together with moderate systemic hypothermia (28°C). Aortic valve leaflets were excised through routine oblique aortotomy. In accordance with aortic annulus size, implantation of 21 or 23 mm mechanical bileaflet aorta prosthesis was performed in eighteen patients with 2/0 polyester suture material (teflon pledget was used in patients where annulus was weak and fragile) using a simple U suture technique without expanding aortic root. In four patients where there were difficulties related to implantation of 21 mm prosthesis due to annular stenosis, aortic root was enlarged with Nick method. After aortotomy was closed primarily, air removal procedure via left atrial vent was performed and then cross clamp was removed.

Postoperative follow-up: Preoperative echocardiography, postoperative echocardiographic data and information regarding clinical shapes of patients in preoperative and early postoperative period were retrospectively evaluated. Mean follow-up period was 34±12 months (range 11-57 months). All patients were invited for follow up. Heart rhythms, NYHA functional capacities, two dimensional M-mode and Doppler echocardiography (Wingmed CFM-725, 3.25 Mhz transducer) measurements during rest and following a maximum treadmill exercise and interventricular septum and left ventricular diameters, transvascular gradient and effective prosthesis valve areas were evaluated. Effective prosthesis valve area and left ventricular mass were calculated using respectively equation of continuity and Devereux formula.

**RESULTS**

**Early postoperative period:** In all the patients, cardiopulmonary bypass was terminated without any need for inotropic support. Mean aortic cross clamp time and mean cardiopulmonary bypass time was respectively 58±10 minutes and 77±12 minutes. Death, atrioventricular block, hemorrhage, myocardial infarction, low output, paravalvular leaking, prosthesis valve dysfunction, endocarditis and cerebral, pulmonary, renal or hepatic complication was not observed in any patient in early postoperative period. All the patients were taken out of the intensive care unit on the second day following the surgery and were discharged approximately on the 8th day (range 6-10 days).

**Late Postoperative complications and anticoagulant treatment:** No complication related to prosthesis valve (thromboembolism, thrombotic obstruction, paravalvular leaking, endocarditis)
was detected during routine polyclinic follow-ups and in the last controls, the patients were called for echocardiography examination. Warfarin dose adjustment was performed so the INR value would be 2.0-3.0.

Functional capacity and echocardiographic data. Notable improvements were observed with regards to preoperative functional capacities in all the patients (p=0.01). All were classified as NYHA class I. Echocardiographic parameters obtained before and after operation, after rest and effort test are summarized in Table-I. A significant drop in peak and mean gradient values were detected in both groups (respectively p=0.01 and p=0.005). All patients completed targeted exercise period without any angina, syncope and apparent effort dyspnea. Even though a small increase occurred in gradients following the effort test (for 21 mm p=0.02; for 23 mm p=0.03), no observation for the effect of this increase was made on effort capacity. Although decreases occurred in aortic valve effective orifice area and left ventricular mass index were more apparent in 21 mm prosthesis implanted patients, it was observed that this improvement did not cause a significant difference with regards to increase in functional capacity (Table-I). When all the patients were evaluated together a significant improvement was observed in postoperative transvalvular mean gradient (respectfully, 55±6 mmHg and 18±5 mmHg; p=0.005) and left ventricular mass index (respectfully, 141±29 g/m2 and 112±10 g/m2; p=0.01) with regards to preoperative values.

**DISCUSSION**

Prosthesis-patient mismatch was first defined by Rahimtola. Prosthesis-patient mismatch occurs always when effective orifice area of the inserted prosthetic valve is smaller than that of a normal human valve. Because annulus size of patients with aortic stenosis is smaller than that of patients with pure regurgitation, prosthesis implanted is small size. In prosthetic valve replacement for aortic stenosis the purpose is to normalize left ventricular mass and its function by holding the postoperative gradient at the lowest level. Physiologically transvalvular gradient level depends on effective prosthesis orifice area and transvalvular flow rate (Gorlin formula).

Accordingly there are studies reporting that the aortic valve effective orifice index should not be lower than 0.85 cm²/m² in order to prevent high gradient that might occur during test and exercise. Although many small sized standard mechanical aortic valve prostheses used in our days offer sufficient clearance if body surface area is considered, when larger orifice area is needed other surgical options such as enlarging aortic root or total replacement of aortic root should be taken into account. In many studies, it was reported that sufficient clinical and hemodynamic recovery was obtained by replacement with 21 mm and 23 mm bileaflet mechanical prosthesis without any need for enlargement in aortic root.

Although there are not many studies that report a significant effect of small sized (≤21 mm) aortic prosthesis on morbidity and mortality in early postoperative period, there are studies that show mortality in small sized aortic prosthesis is significantly higher than larger prosthesis in medium term and long term. However since in these studies bio-prosthesis are also used and there are apparent differences in demographic distribution of the patients, an estimation of a long term mortality is difficult to make. We didn’t encounter any short and medium term morbidity or mortality in our study. Also it was determined that morphologically bicuspid, calcific, fibrotic or fragile structure of leaflets and aortic annulus has no effect on development of postoperative complications.

In our study we observed a significant improvement in postoperative transvalvular gradient measurements when compared with preoperative values in both size groups of prosthesis (p=0.01). In patients with 23 mm...
prosthesis effective orifice area was slightly higher and mean gradient was lower. In many studies, in cases where effective orifice area index was lower than 0.75 cm²/m² and even lower than 0.65 cm²/m², the opinion that there might be prosthesis-patient mismatch overweights.\textsuperscript{14,15} Although it was reported that transvalvular gradient that occurred during effort test could be more reliably measured with dobutamine stress echocardiography, we preferred exercise test in order to observe possible complications that might occur during both determination of functional capacity and maximum effort test.\textsuperscript{16,17} Cam et al.\textsuperscript{18} determined with the data they obtained with dobutamine stress echocardiography that mean gradient significantly increases especially with St. Jude prosthesis when compared with other valves. In another study, a significant increase in transvalvular mean gradient, proportional to dobutamine dosage, was recorded (p<0.0001) in 23 mm Sorin Bicarbon prosthesis used group. Moreover, significant increases in cardiac output and effective orifice area were investigated.\textsuperscript{19,20}

It was reported that regression of left ventricular hypertrophy was proportional to prosthetic valve size, and therefore in 23 mm prosthesis where low gradient speeded up this regression, low amplitude of this regression affected long term prognosis.\textsuperscript{21,22} Regression of left ventricular hypertrophy generally occurs during the first postoperative year.\textsuperscript{23,24} When follow-up periods are considered, although a significant increase in left ventricular mass index is determined in our study, whether this regression will decrease with time will be seen in long-term follow-ups. Although this regression was significant in both groups in our study, as reported in other studies it was more apparent in patients with 23 mm prosthesis.

Although a certain gradient persists in 21 mm and 23 mm prostheses after implantation it is known that this never reaches the preoperative level. In young cases with good prosthesis orifice area indexes of ≤0.4 cm²/m² and with body surface area over 1.8 m², which can be used by enlarging aortic root.

**CONCLUSION**

This study shows that although effective valve areas are relatively small, 21 mm and 23 mm bileaflet mechanical prosthesis valves do not cause any complication in postoperative early or medium term, and produce satisfying results in active young patients with regards to both functional capacity increase and regression of left ventricular hypertrophy.
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