INTRODUCTION

The main role of bispectral index (BIS), which was developed from a processed electroencephalogram, is measuring depth of anesthesia and adjusting the dosage of sedating medications. The bispectral index ranges from 0 to 100. It is believed that there is a relationship between the BIS and responsiveness. A score of 95 to 100 correlates with an awake state and zero shows no EEG activity. During general anesthesia, a BIS index of less than 55 is recommended. Intense surgical stimulation increases the BIS and also heart rate and blood pressure. Aminophylline is a compound of theophylline with ethylenediamine and most common uses in the airway obstruction such as asthma and COPD. However, empirical evidence suggests...
Sina Ghaffaripour et al.

that aminophylline, as an adenosine antagonist, can improve the recovery time from general anesthesia.9-12 Adenosine is present in all cells and its receptors are distributed in all brain cells.13,14 Infusion of adenosine in low doses can potentiate the hypnotic effects of anesthetics. Conversely, administration of aminophylline produces some degree of resistance to anesthesia.15 Aminophylline can reverse the effect of anesthetics such as benzodiazepines, barbiturates and volatile anesthetics.16-18 The aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of aminophylline on BIS as well as its clinical use in anesthesia recovery from TIVA.

**METHODS**

After obtaining ethical committee approval and written informed consent, 92 adult male and female patients between ages 18-50 years old and American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I and II, who were scheduled for elective herniorrphy with a duration of less than one hour were enrolled in this study. The study was designed as a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial and the patients were divided in two groups by simple randomization. Exclusion criteria were: hypersensitivity to aminophylline or other methylxanthines, egg and soy bean; history of opioid addiction, consumption of sedative-hypnotic or psychoactive drugs in last one year, chronic therapy with aminophylline or other methylxanthines and positive history of cardiac arrhythmia, palpitation and convulsion. On arrival in the operating room, ECG, non-invasive arterial pressure, heart rate, ETco2 and SpO2 were monitored. The BIS monitoring electrode was placed on the patient’s forehead after careful cleaning of the skin and was continuously recorded from a bifrontal montage using the aspect EEG monitor. All patients were premedicated with 0.05mg/kg of midazolam and 0.1mg/kg of morphine. Anesthesia was induced with propofol 2.5mg/kg, and remifentanil 2.5 µg/kg. Without any muscle relaxant tracheal intubation was done. All patients were premedicated with 0.05mg/kg of midazolam and 0.1mg/kg of morphine. Anesthesia was induced with propofol 2.5mg/kg, and remifentanil 2.5 µg/kg. Without any muscle relaxant tracheal intubation was done. Anesthesia was maintained with propofol 100µg/kg/min, remifentanil 0.2µg/kg/min and 100% oxygen with stable BIS readings in the range 40-60. At the end of surgery, after skin closure and discontinuing TIVA, the study drug was injected. The syringes were prepared by an independent anesthesiologist and contained either aminophylline or equivalent volume of normal saline. Patients were received saline or aminophylline 4mg/kg within two min. Recovery was assessed by a second anesthesiologist who was unaware of the groups. Bispectral index values(until reach to 95), heart rate, blood pressure, ETco2 and oxygen saturation were determined in all the patients before administration and every one minute after injection of the test drug for 30 minutes. The following variables were measured in both groups: Time to eye opening in response to vocal request, time to extubation and response to command (hand squeezing) after injection of aminophylline or placebo. The Aldrete scores (until reach to 9) were recorded on arrival to the postanesthesia care unit (PACU) and every 5 minutes in the first 20 minutes and then every 10 minutes for one hour in the PACU.

**Statistics:** Data are expressed as mean±SD. Analysis of demographic values were performed by the T-test and one way analysis of variance. Analysis of bispectral index values, HR, BP were performed by a t-test for independent groups, arithmetic calculations and Aldrete scores were performed by the t-test. (β=2, α=0.05, SD=1.7)

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 15, all graphs were made with excel Microsoft software. All data are presented as mean (SD) or mean [95% CI]. For analysis, the main study variables: BIS, heart rate and blood pressure were normalized to zero at the time of injection of the study medication to eliminate the differences in absolute values between the study subjects since the study was designed to study changes in variables irrespective of the absolute baseline value. A probability value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Patients in the two groups were comparable with respect to age, body weight, ASA status and duration of surgery (Table-I). ETco2, SpO2 and ECG values were similar in the two groups and comparable to pre injection values (Table-II). There was no statistically significant difference in the BIS scores between two groups prior to the injection of the test drug (p>0.05). After injection of the test drug, BIS scores were found to be significantly different.
higher (p<0.001) in Group A at 1 to 25 min (Fig.1).
Heart rate and blood pressure were found to be
higher significantly after injection of aminophylline
compared with the placebo group (p<0.001) (Fig.
2 and 3). Recovery times in all measured variables
(time to eye opening, extubation, hand grip and
awake time) were significantly shorter in Group A
(p<0.001) (Table-III). All of the patients had Aldrete
scores 9 in the postanesthesia care unit less than one
hour after termination of operation.

DISCUSSION
The main result of our study was that
aminophylline led to improvement in early recovery
parameters and BIS values after general anesthesia.
Overall, mechanism of action of drugs thought to be
change in cellular systems, ion channels, secondary
messengers and neurotransmitters. Change in
adenosine function may be one of the mechanisms
of action for some anesthetics. Four subtypes of
adenosine receptors are expressed in the CNS: A1,
A2A, A2B and A3. A1 and A2A receptors modulate
cortical ACh release, behavioral arousal, and
sleep. The mechanism for the antihypnotic effect
of aminophylline is thought to be suppression of
adenosine receptors in the CNS. Meanwhile,
some authors have reported that caffeine which
is structurally similar to aminophylline, decreases
GABA-ergic neurotransmission.

In recent years BIS has been used for measuring
the hypnotic component of anesthesia. In our study
we used propofol for anesthesia maintenance and
remifentanil which is an ultrashort acting opioid
and has no effect on BIS. On the other hand, we

Table-III: Recovery variables of two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables Group</th>
<th>Awake time</th>
<th>Time to hand grip</th>
<th>Time to eye opening</th>
<th>Extubation time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>18±3.5</td>
<td>20.8±3.5</td>
<td>20±3.7</td>
<td>21.4±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group P</td>
<td>24.1±2.4</td>
<td>23.9±2.1</td>
<td>22.6±1.9</td>
<td>23.9±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence</td>
<td>Lower: -7.31683</td>
<td>Lower: -4.31991</td>
<td>Lower: -3.81988</td>
<td>Lower: -3.77154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interval of the difference</td>
<td>Upper: -4.81361</td>
<td>Upper: -1.89748</td>
<td>Upper: -1.35403</td>
<td>Upper: -1.27194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
didn’t use any muscle relaxation with the idea not to interfere with BIS values. In this study BIS values were only under effect of propofol and aminophylline. Aminophylline has been known to decrease the sedative and hypnotic effect of barbiturates.16 This study showed the anti-hypnotic effect of aminophylline on propofol since we avoided factors that cause incorrect BIS data like other drugs and electric device interference.22 Moreover, we selected a brief surgery (herniorrhaphy), which lasted no more than one hour, then our subjects were homogenous because we wanted to avoid accumulating effect of anesthetics. On the other hand, one of our concerns was pro-convulsant activity of aminophylline that artifactually may have contributed to the higher BIS scores in the aminophylline group. We never observed clinical epileptiform activity.

Our results concur with previous reports. Similar observations during recovery time have been made, and support the hypothesis that aminophylline is partially able to antagonise the hypnotic and sedative effects of general anaesthetics. Turan et al.10,12 demonstrated that aminophylline shortens recovery times and improves cognitive functions following sevoflurane anaesthesia and improve sevoflurane recovery time. M. Hupfl, et al.11 demonstrated the effects of aminophylline on bispectral index during inhalational and total intravenous anaesthesia which was associated with significant increase in BIS up to 10 min after aminophylline injection.

Our study showed that aminophylline can be used in outpatient surgery safely. Although we observed an increase in heart rate and blood pressure in our subjects, they didn’t need any intervention. Meanwhile, theophylline metabolism occurs in the liver by cytochrome P450 system, then caution needs to be exercised when using other drugs that are also metabolized by the cytochrome system. Conditions such as hepatic dysfunction and heart failure can reduce the elimination of theophylline; and low albumin states reduces the amount of protein-bound drug in the blood, then in these situations, aminophylline should be used with caution. When using aminophylline in recovery of anesthesia, these effects must be evaluated carefully and precautions must be taken in the speed of the injection.

In conclusion, we have shown that aminophylline improves the early clinical recovery after TIVA (propofol+remifentanil) and this correlates with higher BIS values when compared with placebo.
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