

THE EFFECTS OF PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING AND LECTURING ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF IRANIAN NURSING STUDENTS' CRITICAL THINKING

Ali Hassanpour Dehkordi¹, M. Saeed Heydarnejad²

ABSTRACT

Objective: The present study aimed to consider the effects of problem-based learning (PBL) and lecturing approaches on the development of students' critical thinking.

Methodology: A total of 40 second-year nursing students participated: 20 students in the PBL group and 20 students in the traditional lecture (control) group. The students underwent a one-semester course using the two methods of education. The California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI) was used to measure the students' critical thinking.

Results: Compared with lecture students, PBL students showed significantly greater improvement in overall CCTDI. In terms of critical thinking, a significant relationship was found among the PBL and lecture groups.

Conclusion: The present study suggests a significant difference between PBL and traditional lecture groups so that a positive learning attitude was observed in the PBL group and learning motivation is higher in the PBL than in the traditional-based method of learning.

KEYWORDS: Problem-based learning (PBL), Lecture, Nursing, Critical thinking.

Pak J Med Sci October - December 2008 (Part-I) Vol. 24 No. 5 740-743

How to cite this article:

Dehkordi AH, Heydarnejad MS. The effects of problem-based learning and lecturing on the development of Iranian nursing students' critical thinking. Pak J Med Sci 2008;24(5):740-43.

-
1. Ali Hassanpour Dehkordi, MSc.
Faculty of Medical Sciences,
 2. M. Saeed Heydarnejad, PhD,
Assist. Professor,
Department of Biology,
Faculty of Sciences,
1-2: Shahrekord University,
Shahrekord - Iran.

Correspondence

M. Saeed Heydarnejad, PhD,
Assist. Professor,
Department of Biology,
Faculty of Sciences,
Shahrekord University,
Shahrekord - Iran.
Email: msh@utas.edu.au

- * Received for Publication: February 12, 2008
- * 1st Revision: July 8, 2008
- * 2nd Revision: July 10, 2008
- * Final Revision Accepted: October 14, 2008

INTRODUCTION

With its global social, economic, educational, environmental and health challenges, the 21 century does not demand the teaching of soon-to-be obsolete facts, but, rather, the fostering of critical thinking at all levels of education.¹ The need for documentation and evidence of critical thinking development in the accreditation of nursing programmes have been affirmed by the USA's National League for Nursing.² The promotion of critical thinking as a major educational outcome of the nursing curriculum is also stipulated by the Council of Europe.³ No thoughtful person can be appeared in a society in which educational system accepts the problems uncritically.⁴

An efficient nurse requires some skill in order to overcome some practical difficulties.⁵

Most universities in the world are trying to find some educational approaches by which practical decision capacities, continuous and self-confidence educations to be enhanced in students.⁶ Nurses have found that there is a gap between theory and practice. They are usually unable to do medical performances.⁷ Education with an active learning will result in significant increase between the education and medical practice.⁸

There is consensus about the importance of critical thinking. Some investigator insist that there is no standard approach to facilitating critical thinking⁹ while others advocate the use of specific strategies.⁶ Among educational strategies, problem-based learning (PBL) is thought to promote critical thinking.

While delivering education through the traditional lecture format is criticised for its emphasis on the learner's passive receipt of knowledge rather than learning to think critically^{11,12} but the existence of empirical evidence support the positive effects of PBL on students' critical thinking.^{13,14} In Asian countries including Iran most investigations have been done by medical and basic sciences and rarely by nursing. This study therefore, aimed to investigate the effects of PBL and lecturing on the development of Iranian nursing students' critical thinking.

METHODOLOGY

The experimental design was a quasi-experimental with a control group, pretest-posttest design. The subjects were 40 second-year nursing students of medical Faculty of Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, Iran during Internal Surgery Course (ISC) in 2007. They were randomly assigned to either the PBL or lecture groups of 20 individuals each. The students were not previously exposed to the PBL method, even though all students had had some experience on lecture method. During a one-semester course (Internal Surgery) students were taught Hydroelectrolyte and Renal courses as the two methods of education. These courses were considered to teach using the two methods of education. The traditional method

of education, i.e. the lecture was assigned as the control group and the PBL as the case group. Students in the PBL group were guided by a tutor for the issues generated by them. Afterwards, they were addressed to the sources of information with no limitations. In the next session, after introducing the problem by each student, different aspects of the problem were debated. The California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI)¹⁵ was used to measure the students' critical thinking. Data were analyzed using SPSS and the level of significance was set at 0.05 for all tests. T-test and Man-Whitney tests were used to compare the measurements of characteristics and dependent variables between the two groups. The average age of the students was 22.4 ± 1.0 yr. For the experimental group, GPA (out of 20.00) averaged 12.40 -17.50 for the control group and for the control group 12.28 -19.48

RESULTS

The results showed that the overall CCTDI scores for the PBL group significantly different for both groups. All seven subscales of the CCTDI, i.e. Truthseeking, Open-mindedness, Analyticity, Systematicity, Critical Thinking Self-confidence, Inquisitiveness and Cognitive Maturity showed significantly greater scores in the PBL.

In terms of critical thinking, there were no significant differences in the pretest analysis, inference and evaluations scores between the two groups. In the posttest however, as shown in Table-I, students in the scores of the PBL group had significantly higher scores (e.g. in the analysis; $M = 6.15$, $SD = 2.10$) after PBL implementation, compared to the control group ($M = 3.64$, $SD = 1.63$; $t = 14.416$, $p = 0.004$).

DISCUSSION

The results of the present study show that there were differences in the development of critical thinking between the PBL and lecturing groups of students. This is consistent with Tiwari et al.,¹⁶ in which the PBL students had

Table-I: T-test results for research variables in terms of critical thinking.

Variables	Pretest		Posttest			
	PBL (M ± SD)	Lecture (M ± SD)	PBL (M ± SD)	Lecture (M ± SD)	t	P
Analysis	3.22 ± 1.20	3.44 ± 1.35	6.15 ± 2.10	3.64 ± 1.63	14.416	0.004
Inference	3.21 ± 1.42	3.33 ± 1.15	5.65 ± 1.90	3.65 ± 2.00	15.324	0.001
Evaluation	3.14 ± 1.64	3.20 ± 1.90	6.15 ± 1.45	3.70 ± 1.30	14.403	<0.0001

significantly higher overall critical thinking scores on completion of the course compared with the lecture students, and they continued to have higher scores than the lecture students for 2 years afterwards.

Problem-based learning (PBL) as constructive learning environment has been selected as a useful instructional alternative to conventional (lecturing) teaching.¹⁷ It is designed to help students construct an extensive and flexible knowledge base, develop self-directed learning skills, and become intrinsically motivated to learn.¹⁸ The PBL in fact, establishes a format through which students learn.¹⁹

The PBL students usually express significantly greater levels of motivation toward learning than their traditional counterparts²⁰. This method i.e. PBL assists students to acquire and retain relevant information by integrating basic and clinical sciences.²¹ Learning by the PBL method also improved clinical reasoning skills, clinical knowledge, learning motivation and learning autonomy.²² In addition, PBL is more student-centered and focuses on comprehensive learning of nursing concepts without regard to specialties of nursing courses.²³ The results of this study are consistent with those of previous studies e.g.^{25,26} that reported improved learning and self-confidence among PBL students than lecture ones. Similarly Koleini et al.,²⁶ showed that there was a significant difference between the traditional-based learning and PBL so that the PBL may lead to better learning than to the lecture method.

Between the two groups of students in the current study, there were significant differences in the appearance of critical thinking

disposition. In addition, PBL students demonstrated significantly greater improvement scores in all 7 dimensions of CCTDI. The findings of the present study are consistent with those of previous studies²⁷⁻²⁹ in which the PBL students had significantly higher overall critical thinking disposition scores on completion of the course compared with the lecture students.

This study found a significant difference between PBL and traditional lecture groups so that a positive learning attitude was observed in the PBL group. This finding is in parallel with the previous study findings that PBL students had significantly higher scores in the learning attitude than those of traditional lecture students.^{30,31}

CONCLUSIONS

The PBL students had significantly higher overall scores on the completion of the semester compared with the lecture students. A positive learning attitude was observed in the PBL group and learning motivation is higher in the PBL than in the traditional-based method of learning.

REFERENCES

1. Facione NC, Facione PA. The California Critical Thinking Skills Test and the National League for Nursing Accreditation Requirement in Critical Thinking. Millbrae, California: California Academic Press 1994;1-2.
2. National League for Nursing. Accreditation Standards for Baccalaureate Degree Programs and Masters Degree Programs. New York: National League for Nursing 1990.
3. Council of Europe. The Role and Education of Nurses. Draft Revised Agreement. Strasbourg: Council of Europe 1995;1.

4. Heravi M, Milani M, Rogeh N. The effects of educations through lecture and group investigation on level of learning nursing students. *Iranian Magazine Edu in Med Sci* 2004;11:55-60.
5. Salsali M. The development of nursing education in Iran. *Inter History Nurs J* 2000;5:58-64.
6. Magnusen L, Ishida D, Itano J. The Impact of the use of inquiry based learning as a teaching methodology on the development of critical thinking. *J Nurs Edu* 2000;8:160-7.
7. Benoit B. Problem-based learning. *J Nursing Edu* 2003;19:331-9.
8. Creedy D, Horsfall J, Hand B. Problem-based learning in nursing education: An Australian view, Sydney: Benedigo Company 1999;234-67.
9. Brookfield SD. *Developing Critical Thinkers: Challenging Adults to Explore Alternative Ways of Thinking and Acting*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press 1987;71-88.
10. Barrows HS. A taxonomy of problem-based learning methods. *Med Edu* 1986;20:481-6.
11. Biley FC, Smith KL. Exploring the potential of problem-based learning in nurse education. *Nurse Edu Today* 1998;18:353-61.
12. Alexander JG, McDaniel GS, Baldwin MS, Money BJ. Promoting, applying and evaluating problem-based learning in the undergraduate nursing curriculum. *Nurs Educ Perspectives* 2002;23(5):248-53.
13. Stern P. Student perceptions of a problem-based learning course. *Am J Occup Ther* 1996;51(7):589-96.
14. Antepohl W, Domeij E, Forsberg P, Ludvigsson J. A follow-up of medical graduates of a problem-based learning curriculum. *Med Edu* 2003;37(2):155-62.
15. Facione NC, Facione PA. *The California Critical Thinking Skills Test and the National League for Nursing Accreditation Requirement in Critical Thinking*. Millbrae, California: California Academic Press 1994;1-2.
16. Tiwari A, Patrick L, Mike S. Problem-based learning: A comparison of the effects of problem-based learning and lecturing on the development of students' critical thinking. *Medical Education* 2006;40:547-54.
17. Loynes SMM, Rikers RMJP, Schmidt HG. Students' conception of constructivist learning: a comparison between a traditional and a problem-based learning curriculum. *Advances in Health Sci Edu* 2006;11:365-79.
18. Hmelo-Silver CE. Problem-based learning: what and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review* 2004;16:235-66.
19. Bahar-Özvarýþ Þ, Çuhadarođlu F, Turan S. Cooperative learning: A new application of problem-based learning in mental health training. *Medical Teacher* 2006;28:553-7.
20. Hwang SY, Kim MJ. A comparison of problem-based learning and lecture-based learning in an adult health nursing course. *Nurse Education Today* 2006;26:315-21.
21. Finucane PM, Johnson SM, Prideaux DJ. Problem-based learning: its rationale and efficacy. *Med J Aus* 1998;168:445-8.
22. Thomas RE. Problem-based learning: measurable outcomes. *Medical Education* 1997;31:320-9.
23. Little P. *PBL in nursing*. PBL Workshop Booklet, Newcastle 2000; The University of Newcastle.
24. Gibson DR, Campbell RM. The role of cooperative learning in the training of junior hospital doctor. *Medical Teacher* 2000;3:297-300.
25. Yang ND. Exploring a new role for teachers: Promoting learner autonomy. *System* 1998;26:127-35.
26. Koleinie N, Shams B, Salehi M. Learning using lecture and PBL. *Iran Mag Edu Med Sci* 2002;10:57-63.
27. Stern P. Student perceptions of a problem-based learning course. *Am J Occup Ther* 1996;51(7):589-96.
28. Birgegard G, Lindquist U. Change in student attitudes to medical school after the introduction of problem-based learning in spite of low ratings. *Med Educ* 1998;32:46-9.
29. Kamin CS, O'Sullivan PS, Younger M, Deterding R. Measuring critical thinking in problem-based learning discourse. *Teach Learn Med* 2001;13(1):27-35.
30. Arthur D. The effects of the problem-based alcohol early intervention education package on the knowledge and attitudes of students of nursing. *J Nurs Edu* 2001;40:63-72.
31. Hwang SY, Jang KS. Development and implementation of problem-based learning packages on the respiratory and cardiac system. *J Korean Adult Health Nursing* 2004;16:220-8.