

Metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for elevated intraocular pressure

Nedime Sahinoglu-Keskek¹, Sakir Ozgur Keskek², Selim Cevher³,
Sinan Kirim⁴, Asim Kayiklik⁵, Gulay Ortoglu⁶, Tayyibe Saler⁷

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate the association between intraocular pressure and metabolic syndrome by comparing central corneal thicknesses.

Methods: One hundred sixty-two subjects were enrolled in this cross-sectional study, with 89 subjects in a metabolic syndrome group and 73 subjects in a control group. Ophthalmological examinations, including intraocular pressure and central corneal thickness measurements, were performed on each subject. Serum fasting glucose, triglyceride and HDL cholesterol levels were measured, and waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure of all patients were recorded.

Results: Participants with metabolic syndrome had a significantly higher intraocular pressure than those without metabolic syndrome ($p = 0.008$), and there was no statistically significant difference between the central corneal thickness of the two groups ($p = 0.553$). Most of the metabolic syndrome components were associated with higher intraocular pressure ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusions: There is a relationship between metabolic syndrome and intraocular pressure, but no association between metabolic syndrome and central corneal thickness. Intraocular pressure is affected by central corneal thickness, and intraocular pressure is used to correct according to the central corneal thickness measurement. To our knowledge, this is the first study that determines the positive relationship between metabolic syndrome and intraocular pressure by comparing the central corneal thicknesses of the groups.

KEY WORDS: Intraocular pressure, Central corneal thickness, Metabolic syndrome, Glaucoma.

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.303.4514>

How to cite this:

Sahinoglu-Keskek N, Keskek SO, Cevher S, Kirim S, Kayiklik A, Ortoglu G, et al. Metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for elevated intraocular pressure. *Pak J Med Sci* 2014;30(3):477-482. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.303.4514>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Nedime Sahinoglu-Keskek, MD, Department of Ophthalmology,
 2. Sakir Ozgur Keskek, MD, Department of Internal Medicine,
 3. Selim Cevher, MD, Department of Ophthalmology,
 4. Sinan Kirim, MD, Department of Internal Medicine,
 5. Asim Kayiklik, MD, Department of Ophthalmology,
 6. Gulay Ortoglu, MD, Department of Internal Medicine,
 7. Tayyibe Saler, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Internal Medicine,
- 1-7: Numune Training and Research Hospital, Adana, Turkey.

Correspondence:
Sakir Ozgur Keskek,
Department of Internal Medicine,
Numune Training and Research Hospital,
01240 Yuregir, Adana, Turkey.
E-mail: drkeskek@yahoo.com

- * Received for Publication: October 22, 2013
- * Accepted for Publication: February 12, 2014

INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma is a significant cause of irreversible blindness worldwide^{1,2}, and intraocular pressure (IOP) is a modifiable risk factor for primary open angle glaucoma (POAG).³ Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of metabolic abnormalities that includes hypertriglyceridaemia, low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, obesity, hypertension and hyperglycaemia. Diabetes, hypertension and obesity have been found to be associated with elevated IOPs⁴⁻¹², and according to previous studies, elevated IOP is an expected condition in metabolic disturbances that are associated with the components of metabolic syndrome (MS).^{13,14}

The correlation between central corneal thickness (CCT) and IOP is well known. Eyes with greater mean CCTs tend to have higher IOPs.^{15,16} In our

study, we compared the CCTs of the patients to determine the relationship between metabolic syndrome and IOP.

Metabolic syndrome is a common condition in Turkey. The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between metabolic syndrome and IOP, and to compare the IOP levels of those patients with those of normal subjects.

METHODS

Study participants: In this cross-sectional study, we analysed 162 subjects in two groups: one group of 89 metabolic syndrome patients and a control group of 73 healthy subjects. Approval for the study protocol was granted by the Adana Numune Training and Research Hospital's institutional review board, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The medical histories and conditions of the participants were carefully ascertained by physicians, including ophthalmologists. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before enrolment. Participants with past histories of glaucoma, surgery, use of steroid drugs or diabetic retinopathy were excluded.

Data collection: The collection of the data for this study included the following tests: ophthalmological examination, blood chemistry, waist circumference and blood pressure. Blood pressure was measured using a mercury sphygmomanometer, and three separate readings at one minute intervals were taken by trained nurses. A venous blood sample was collected in the morning after overnight fasting. Fasting glucose, triglyceride and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels were analysed with a Roche C-501 (Tokyo, Japan) by using the hexokinase method (glucose) and homogeneous colorimetric enzyme test (triglyceride and HDL). Metabolic syndrome was defined according to the National Cholesterol Education Program third Adult Treatment Panel guidelines (NCEP ATP III).¹³ The components of metabolic syndrome included: waist circumference > 102 cm in men or > 88 cm in women, triglyceride \geq 150 mg per 100 ml, HDL < 40 mg per 100 ml in men or < 50 mg per 100 ml in women, blood pressure \geq 130/85 mmHg and fasting glucose \geq 100 mg per 100 ml. Metabolic syndrome was defined as having at least three of the five components.¹³

Ophthalmological examinations were performed between 8:00 am and 11:00 am, and included the best-corrected visual acuity, refraction, IOP measurement by non-contact tonometry (Canon

Auto Tonometer TX-F, Canon, USA) and dilated fundus examination. A trained nurse took three consecutive measurements for each eye, and the average IOP of the right eye was used for analysis. Ocular hypertension was defined as an IOP \geq 21 mmHg in the right eye. Five CCT measurements were obtained from each eye with a Sonomed ultrasound pachymeter (PacScan 300P, California, USA), and the median reading was taken.

Statistical methods: MedCalc 12.0 software (MedCalc, Turkey) was used for the statistical analysis, and data were reported as the mean \pm SD. Chi square and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to compare the categorical measurements between the groups, and to show the normal distribution of the quantitative measurements, respectively. The t-test or Mann-Whitney U test were used for the comparison of the quantitative measurements between the two groups. The Pearson correlation coefficients (r) were used in order to analyse the degree of association between the two variables (with P-value and 95% CI for r). The Log transformation was used for variables that were not normally distributed, and the level of statistical significance was considered to be 0.05 in all tests.

RESULTS

The characteristics of the study subjects are summarized in Table-I. The mean age was 49.04 years (\pm 10.68) for the metabolic syndrome group, and 48.10 years (\pm 9.35) for the healthy control group. There were 37 men and 52 women in the metabolic syndrome group, and 35 men and 38 women in the control group. There was no statistical difference in the ages and gender distributions of the two groups (p values = 0.24 and 0.81, respectively).

The mean IOP was 14.32 (\pm 3.26) mmHg in the participants without metabolic syndrome, and 16.25 (\pm 3.80) mmHg in those with metabolic syndrome. The subjects with metabolic syndrome had significantly higher IOP levels than those without metabolic syndrome. The prevalence of ocular hypertension was 13.48 and 4.10% in the participants with and without metabolic syndrome, respectively. An analysis of the components of metabolic syndrome showed that the participants with high blood pressure, high fasting glucose, high triglycerides and abdominal obesity had significantly higher IOP levels, when compared to the subjects without these risk factors (p < 0.05, respectively) (Table-II). No correlation was found between the low HDL levels and high intraocular pressure (Table-III). When each component of the

Table-I: Clinical characteristics of the study subjects according to the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome.

	<i>n</i>	<i>With metabolic syndrome</i>	<i>Without metabolic syndrome</i>	<i>p-values</i>	<i>Reference values</i>
Age (years)	162	49.0±10.6	48.1±9.3	0.240	
Male (%)	75	41.6	52.1	0.810	
Waist circumference (cm)	162	117.4±18.1	83.2±9.6	<0.001	Male<102 Female<88
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	162	145.50±24.94	107.0±11.83	<0.001	<130
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	162	86.8±15.0	66.3±9.6	<0.001	≤85
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)	162	150.3±68.6	89.9±8.2	<0.001	<110
HDL (mg/dl)	162	42.9±8.4	52.5±12.2	<0.001	Male>40 Female>50
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	162	204.7±88.3	104.0±53.9	<0.001	≤150
Intraocular pressure (mmHg)	162	16.2±3.8	14.3±3.2	0.008	≥21
Ocular hypertension (%)	162	14.6	2.7	0.035	

Table-II: Comparison of the mean values of intraocular pressure according to each component of metabolic syndrome.

<i>Component of metabolic syndrome</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Mean IOP(±SD)</i>	<i>p-value</i>
Abdominal obesity			
No (male)	41	14.09±3.54	0.012
Yes (male)	34	16.26±3.77	
No (female)	22	14.14±3.41	0.043
Yes (female)	65	15.97±3.68	
High fasting glucose			
No	83	14.55±3.20	0.0029
Yes	79	16.26±3.96	
Low HDL			
No (male)	59	15.33±3.87	0.258
Yes (male)	16	14.12±3.38	
No (female)	29	16.24±3.42	0.285
Yes (female)	58	15.36±3.64	
High triglycerides			
No	88	14.78±3.69	0.019
Yes	74	16.10±3.56	
High systolic blood pressure			
No	90	14.48±3.12	0.0004
Yes	72	16.67±3.96	
High diastolic blood pressure			
No	90	14.81±3.26	<0.0057
Yes	72	16.48±4.19	
Metabolic syndrome			
No	73	14.32±3.26	0.0008
Yes	89	16.25±3.80	

The components of metabolic syndrome were: abdominal obesity, waist circumference > 102 cm in men or > 88 cm in women; high triglyceride, ≥ 150 mg per 100 ml; low HDL, < 40 mg per 100 ml in men or < 50 mg per 100 ml in women; high blood pressure, ≥ 130 for systolic and ≥ 85 mmHg for diastolic pressure; and high fasting glucose, ≥ 100 mg per 100 ml.

metabolic syndrome was analysed, fasting glucose level, triglyceride level, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and waist circumference were positively associated with IOP (Table-III).

The mean values of CCT were 540.04 (±35.65) and 536.78 (±33.81) μm in the patients with metabolic syndrome and the control group, respectively. There was no significant difference in the CCT between the two groups (p = 0.553) (Table-IV). None of the components of metabolic syndrome were found to be associated with central corneal thickness (p > 0.05) (Table-V).

DISCUSSION

We found that metabolic syndrome and its components were associated with higher intraocular pressure, and it has been previously reported that subjects with metabolic syndrome had higher IOPs.^{13,14,17} This study also showed that four of the five components (fasting plasma glucose, blood pressure, waist circumference and triglyceride) were associated with higher IOPs.

Table-III: Association of IOP with metabolic parameters.

<i>Metabolic parameters</i>		<i>IOP</i>
Waist circumference	r	0.229
	p	0.003
Systolic blood pressure	r	0.257
	p	0.0009
Diastolic blood pressure	r	0.210
	p	0.006
Fasting glucose	r	0.220
	p	0.004
HDL	r	0.023
	p	0.769
Triglycerides	r	0.224
	p	0.004

Table-IV: Comparison of the mean values of CCT according to each component of metabolic syndrome.

Component of metabolic syndrome	n	Mean CCT(±SD)	p-value
Abdominal obesity			
No (male)	41	538.7±35.5	0.964
Yes (male)	34	538.3±30.8	
No (female)	22	540.2±42.7	0.813
Yes (female)	65	538.0±34.5	
High fasting glucose			
No	83	535.7±32.6	0.292
Yes	79	541.5±36.8	
Low HDL			
No (male)	59	538.0±34.2	0.800
Yes (male)	16	540.3±27.8	
No (female)	29	536.0±36.3	0.643
Yes (female)	58	539.9±36.8	
High triglycerides			
No	88	539.2±33.3	0.784
Yes	74	537.7±36.5	
High systolic blood pressure			
No	90	536.5±32.8	0.407
Yes	72	541.1±37.1	
High diastolic blood pressure			
No	106	539.8±34.7	0.538
Yes	56	536.2±35.0	
Metabolic syndrome			
No	73	536.7±33.8	0.553
Yes	89	540.4±35.6	

Previous studies have reported positive associations between the body mass index and IOP.¹⁸⁻²¹ Higher IOPs in obesity are likely due to excess intraorbital fat tissue, an increase in the viscosity of the blood, and an increase in the episcleral venous pressure, which, consequently, decrease the outflow facility.^{5,12,19}

The current study found significant relationships in both the systolic and diastolic blood pressures with IOP. Lin et al., investigated the relative importance of each component of metabolic syndrome in specific age and gender groups in their study²², and found that elevated IOP was associated with different metabolic variables according to the patient groups: BMI for young adults, fasting blood sugar for older adults, diastolic blood pressure for men and systolic blood pressure for most participants. They also reported blood pressure to be the strongest predictor of elevated IOP.

Other studies in the literature suggested that the causes of high IOP in systemic hypertension are the excessive production of aqueous humour, and the increase in the episcleral venous and ciliary arterial

Table-V: The association of CCT with the metabolic parameters.

Metabolic parameters		CCT
Waist circumference	r	0.005
	p	0.940
Systolic blood pressure	r	0.097
	p	0.217
Diastolic blood pressure	r	0.050
	p	0.523
Fasting glucose	r	0.025
	p	0.744
HDL	r	-0.059
	p	0.449
Triglycerides	r	-0.015
	p	0.846

pressures.^{21,23} Additionally, increased sympathetic tone and serum corticosteroids were found to be responsible for high IOPs in patients having high blood pressure.²⁴

There was a correlation between high-ocular tension and hyperglycaemia in our study; however, the mechanism behind how hyperglycaemia affects IOP is unclear. The osmotic gradient induced by elevated blood glucose, with a consequent fluid shift into the intraocular space, and autonomic dysfunction having been proposed to be the factors explaining the association.^{25,26}

Previous studies have shown the positive relationship between IOP and total cholesterol and triglycerides.^{5,27} Similarly, there is a positive correlation between high IOP and high triglycerides in our study. Possible reasons for this are that hypertriglyceridemia is commonly associated with obesity.

We suspect that a common background exists between high ocular tension and metabolic syndrome, and some potential pathophysiological mechanisms about metabolic syndrome and IOP have been revealed by recent research. Obesity, hypertension and insulin resistance cause sympathetic hyperactivation^{28,29}, and IOP increases with the stimulation of sympathetic activation.³⁰ It has also been reported that β -adrenergic receptor polymorphism is associated with obesity, insulin resistance, IOP and POAG.^{29,31} It has been shown that IOP is affected by the endogenous rhythm, where IOP rises in the winter and is negatively related to body temperature.^{5,27,32}

The endocannabinoid pathway is defined as a pathway which controls energy homeostasis and appetite³³, and abdominal obesity, dyslipidaemia, hyperglycaemia and hepatic lipogenesis exist due to

endocannabinoid overactivity.³³ Endocannabinoid receptors are also present in various eye tissues, including the trabecular meshwork, ciliary body, corneal epithelium and the canal of Schlemm.³⁴ It has been shown in rodents that when administered intravenously or topically, endocannabinoids regulate aqueous outflow in the trabecular meshwork and influence IOP.³⁴ Aquaporins are a family of membrane proteins transporting water and small molecules, such as glycerol. They are expressed in the corneal endothelium, trabecular meshwork, ciliary epithelium, choroid plexus, adipose tissue, pancreas and liver.³⁵ Aquaporins regulate the IOP by increasing aqueous fluid secretions across the ciliary epithelium.³⁵

Park et al. studied the association between IOP and metabolic syndrome according to menopause status in nonglaucomatous Korean women.³⁶ They found that elevated IOP was associated with metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women, but not in premenopausal women. They reported that oestrogen could directly influence target tissues in the eye, such as the ciliary epithelium, trabecular meshwork, subepithelial vascular plexus and episcleral venous plexus, as well as act on the inflow of the aqueous humour.

Central corneal thickness is an important factor effecting intraocular pressure, and extremes in the CCT can lead to errors in IOP measurement. To our knowledge, this is the first study performed to investigate the correlation between metabolic syndrome and intraocular pressure changes by analysing the CCTs of patients. There was no statistical significance found between the CCTs of the metabolic syndrome group and the control group. However, it can be concluded that there is a real relationship between metabolic syndrome and elevated intraocular pressure.

In this study, there was no association between the CCT and any of the metabolic syndrome components. Some studies in the literature highlighted that CCT was greater in individuals with diabetes and metabolic syndrome.^{17,37} The reason for this association is unclear, but there is the hypothesis that these conditions alter corneal endothelial physiology, leading to an increase in CCT.^{38,39}

The cross-sectional design of this study limited its ability to establish a causal relationship between metabolic syndrome and IOP. Cohort studies or clinical trials will help us to determine the exact causal relationships between the identified risk factors and IOP.

Sedentary lifestyles cause increases in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome, and lifestyle intervention can provide an amelioration of metabolic syndrome and decrease IOP. However, further longitudinal studies are required to clarify the relationship between insulin resistance and IOP.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Quigley HA. Number of people with glaucoma worldwide. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1996;80:389-393.
2. Wong TY, Loon SC, Saw SM. The epidemiology of age related eye diseases in Asia. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2006;90:506-511.
3. Lazaro C, Garcia-Feijoo J, Castillo A, Perea J, Martinez-Casa JM, Garcia-Sanchez J. Impact of intraocular pressure after filtration surgery on visual field progression in primary open angle glaucoma. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2007;17:357-362.
4. Dielemans I, de Jong PT, Stolk R, Vingerling JR, Grobbee DE, Hofman A. Primary open angle glaucoma, intraocular pressure, and diabetes mellitus in the general elderly population: the Rotterdam Study. *Ophthalmology.* 1996;103:1271-1275.
5. Klein BE, Klein R, Linton KL. Intraocular pressure in an American community: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1992;33:2224-2228.
6. Tielsch JM, Katz J, Quigley HA, Javitt JC, Sommer A. Diabetes, intraocular pressure, and primary open-angle glaucoma in the Baltimore Eye Survey. *Ophthalmology.* 1995;102:48-53.
7. Wu SY, Leske MC. Associations with intraocular pressure in the Barbados Eye Study. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1997;115:1572-1576.
8. Bonomi L, Marchini G, Marraffa M, Bernardi P, Morbio R, Varotto A. Vascular risk factors for primary open angle glaucoma: the Egna-Neumarkt Study. *Ophthalmology.* 2000;107:1287-1293.
9. Dielemans I, Vingerling JR, Algra D, Hofman A, Grobbee DE, de Jong PT. Primary open-angle glaucoma, intraocular pressure, and systemic blood pressure in the general elderly population: the Rotterdam Study. *Ophthalmology.* 1995;102:54-60.
10. Cheung N, Wong TY. Obesity and eye diseases. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 2007;52:180-195.
11. Lee JS, Lee SH, Oum BS, Chung JS, Cho BM, Hong JW. Relationship between intraocular pressure and systemic health parameters in a Korean population. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol.* 2002;30:237-241.
12. Mori K, Ando F, Nomura H, Sato Y, Shimokata H. Relationship between intraocular pressure and obesity in Japan. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2000;29:661-666.
13. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive Summary of the Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III): JAMA. 2001;285(19):2486-2497.
14. Oh SW, Lee S, Park C, Kim DJ. Elevated intraocular pressure is associated with insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2005;21:434-440.

15. Park SS, Lee EH, Jargal G, Paek D, Cho SI. The distribution of intraocular pressure and its association with metabolic syndrome in a community. *J Prev Med Public Health*. 2010;43:125-130.
16. Doughty MJ, Zaman ML. Human corneal thickness and its impact on intraocular pressure measures: a review and meta-analysis approach. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2000;44:367-408.
17. Su DH, Wong TY, Wong WL, Saw SM, Tan DT, Shen SY, et al. Diabetes, hyperglycemia, and central corneal thickness: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2008;115:964-968.
18. Lee MK, Cho SI, Kim H, Song MY, Lee K, Kim JI, et al. Epidemiologic characteristics of intraocular pressure in the Korean and Mongolian populations: the Healthy Twin and the GENDISCAN study. *Ophthalmology*. 2012;119:450-457.
19. Shiose Y, Kawase Y. A new approach to stratified normal intraocular pressure in a general population. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1986;101:714-721.
20. Shiose Y. Aging effect on intraocular pressure in an apparently normal population. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1984;206:33-41.
21. Bulpitt CJ, Hodes C, Everott MR. Intraocular pressure and systemic blood pressure in the elderly. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 1975;59:717-720.
22. Lin CP, Lin YS, Wu SC, Ko YS. Age and gender specific association between intraocular pressure and metabolic variables in a Taiwanese population. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2012;23:76-82.
23. Hennis A, Wu SY, Nemesure B, Leske MC. Hypertension, diabetes and longitudinal changes in intraocular pressure. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110:908-914.
24. Carel RS, Korczyn AD, Rock M, Goya I. Association between ocular pressure and certain parameters. *Ophthalmology*. 1984;91:311-314.
25. Mitchell P, Smith W, Chey T, Healey PR. Open-angle glaucoma and diabetes. The Blue Mountains Eye Study, Australia. *Ophthalmology*. 1997;104:712-718.
26. Mapstone R, Clark CV. Prevalence of diabetes in glaucoma. *Br Med J*. 1985;62:161-163.
27. Imai K, Hamaguchi M, Mori K, Takeda N, Fukui M. Metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for high-ocular tension. *Int J Obes*. 2010;34:1209-1217.
28. Mancina G, Bousquet P, Elghozi JL, Esler M, Grassi G, Julius S, et al. The sympathetic nervous system and the metabolic syndrome. *J Hypertens*. 2007;25:909-920.
29. Yasuda K, Matsunaga T, Adachi T, Aoki N, Tsujimoto G, Tsuda K. Adrenergic receptor polymorphisms and autonomic nervous system function in human obesity. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 2006;17:269-275.
30. Belmonte C, Bartels SP, Liu JH, Neufeld AH. Effects of stimulation of the ocular sympathetic nerves on IOP and aqueous humor flow. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1987;28:1649-1654.
31. Inagaki Y, Mashima Y, Fuse N, Funayama T, Ohtake Y, Yasuda N, et al. Polymorphism of beta-adrenergic receptors and susceptibility to open-angle glaucoma. *Mol Vis*. 2006;12:673-680.
32. Blumenthal M, Blumenthal R, Peritz E, Best M. Seasonal variation in intraocular pressure. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1970;69:608-610.
33. Matias I, Di Marzo V. Endocannabinoids and the control of energy balance. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 2007;18:27-37.
34. Jarvinen T, Pate DW, Laine K. Cannabinoids in the treatment of glaucoma. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2002;95:203-220.
35. Verkman AS. Role of aquaporin water channels in eye function. *Exp Eye Res*. 2003;76:137-143.
36. Park BJ, Park JO, Kang HT, Lee YJ. Elevated intraocular pressure is associated with metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women: the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Menopause*. 2013;20:742-746.
37. Su DH, Wong TY, Foster PJ, Tay WT, Saw SM, Aung T. Central corneal thickness and its associations with ocular and systemic factors: The Singapore Malay Eye Study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2009;147:709-716.
38. Aktas Z, Ozdek S, Asli Dinc U, Akyurek N, Atalay V, Guz G, et al. Alterations in ocular surface and corneal thickness in relation to metabolic control in patients with chronic renal failure. *Nephrology*. 2007;12:380-385.
39. Larsson LI, Bourne WM, Pach JM, Braubaker RF. Structure and function of the corneal endothelium in diabetes mellitus type I and type II. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1996;114:9-14.