

Frequency of gestational diabetes mellitus and the associated risk factors

Nuriye Buyukkayaci Duman

ABSTRACT

Objective: This prospective study was undertaken to assess the frequency of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and the associated risk factors during pregnancy.

Methods: The sample consisted of 650 pregnant women who had no known risk factors for GDM and were followed-up at the Outpatient Clinic for Pregnant Women, Corum State Hospital between March 2009 and June 2010. The data were expressed as percentage, arithmetic mean, standard deviation and chi-square test.

Results: Of the 650 pregnant women, 45 were diagnosed with GDM during the study period (6.9%). A statistically significant correlation between GDM and advanced age, family history, and body mass index was found, while no significant correlations existed between GDM and the frequency of pregnancy, number of pregnancy, parity, and number of live births.

Conclusion: Advanced age, high body mass index and family history of diabetes mellitus emerged as risk factors for GDM in our study.

KEY WORDS: Affecting Factors, Frequency, Gestational Diabetes Mellitus.

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.311.5617>

How to cite this:

Duman NB. Frequency of gestational diabetes mellitus and the associated risk factors . Pak J Med Sci 2015;31(1):194-197.

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.311.5617>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a glucose tolerance disorder of any severity occurring for the first time or diagnosed during pregnancy.^{1,2} The reported global prevalence of GDM ranges between 1% and 14%.³⁻⁷ Diabetogenic effect of pregnancy is thought to play a role in the development of GDM where human placental lactogenic hormone (HPL) secreted from placenta during pregnancy results in insulin desensitization leading to physiological

increases in blood glucose levels, particularly during the 2nd and 3rd trimesters.⁸ Also increase in the circulating levels of growth hormone, cortisone, estrogen and progesterone is considered to play a contributing role for the insulin resistance.^{6,7}

Several other factors including maternal age, ethnicity, genetic disposition, polycystic ovary syndrome, hypertension and obesity have also been associated with the risk of GDM.^{1,9} GDM, if not managed properly, may lead to variety of complications, both for the mother and the baby, during and after the pregnancy.¹⁰⁻¹² GDM is also associated with an increased risk of certain other conditions such as pre-eclampsia, polyhydramnios, fetal macrosomia, hyperbilirubinemia, hypoglycemia, hypocalcemia, polycythemia, mental retardation, birth trauma and neonatal mortality.^{2,10-12}

Thus, early detection and treatment of GDM carries a significant importance for maternal and fetal health. Our study aimed at assessing the prevalence of this condition along with its associated risk factors, in a sample of pregnant women.

1. Nuriye Buyukkayaci Duman, Assistant Professor, Hitit University School of Health, Corum, Turkey.

Correspondence:

Nuriye Buyukkayaci Duman,
Hitit University School of Health,
Corum, Turkey.
E-mail: nurfatihh@hotmail.com

- * Received for Publication: May 7, 2014
- * Edited and Corrected: September 26, 2014
- * Accepted for Publication: October 3, 2014

METHODS

The sample population consisted of 650 pregnant women selected using a simple-random sampling scheme who attended to the Outpatient Clinic for Pregnant Women, Corum State Hospital, Turkey, between March 2009 and June 2010. The data were gathered using the Data Collection Form for Descriptive Characteristics of Diabetic Women, which was specifically designed by the members of the research team using previously published data.

Data Collection Form For Descriptive Characteristics of Diabetic Women: The form included a total of 10 items that address certain socio-demographic, obstetric and diabetes-related characteristics (such as the age, educational status, profession, number of pregnancy, number of parity, time interval between two pregnancies, family history of diabetes, GDM status in the previous pregnancy, and the result of oral glucose tolerance test).

Data Assessment: During the study period, the first 50 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed between 24 and 28 weeks of the pregnancy, and a second 100 g OGTT was administered to those with a glucose value of ≥ 135 mg/dl, according to the diagnostic criteria proposed by The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2001).¹³ Subjects with two or more test results higher than the normal range were considered to have GDM (Fig.1).

The data were analyzed with SPSS 17.0 statistical package program for Windows; and comparisons were done using chi-square test in computer environment.

Ethical Considerations: The study protocol both for the pre-test and implementation phase was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee, Corum State Hospital. All participants provided written informed consent following the provision of oral and written information on the purpose of the study.

Screening Time	(First trimester, for those with higher risk) Generally, between the 24th and the 28th weeks of pregnancy
Test (mg/dl)	if 50 g OGTT value >135 mg/dl; and if 100 g OGTT values are as follows: fasting ≥ 95 1. hour: ≥ 180 2. hour ≥ 155 3. hour ≥ 140 (diagnostic if 2 or more criteria are met)

Fig.1: GDM criteria of ACOG (2001).

RESULTS

Approximately one-third (61.8%) of the participants were between 23 and 28 years of age and half (53.8%) had a primary school level of education. Nearly 70% of the cases were having their first pregnancy. Majority of the multigravida women were multiparous (75.0%). In addition, most of the women did not have a family history of diabetes (76.9%) (Table-I).

A diagnosis of diabetes was made in 45 out of 650 patients i.e. 6.9% of the participants. Variables that were found to be associated with a significantly increased risk of gestational diabetes included age, body mass index, family history of diabetes and past gestational diabetes ($p < 0.05$) (Table-II). Accordingly, proportion of patients with a diagnosis of GDM was 2.5%, 12.5%, and 75.0% in those between 23-28, 29-34, and 34-39 years of age, respectively. On the other hand, educational status, occupational status, number of pregnancy, and

Table-I: Demographic and clinical characteristics.

Characteristics	N	%
Age (Years)	N:650	
23-28	402	61.8
29-34	200	30.8
34-39	48	7.4
Educational Status	N:650	
Primary School	350	53.8
Secondary School	150	23.1
High School and above	150	23.1
Occupation	N:650	
Yes	250	38.5
No	400	61.5
Number of Pregnancies	N:650	
Primigravida	450	69.2
Multigravida	200	30.8
Parity	n:200*	
Primipara	50	25.0
Multipara	150	75.0
Family history of diabetes	N:650	
Yes	150	23.1
No	500	76.9
GDM during the previous pregnancy	n:200*	
Yes	15	7.5
No	185	92.5
Body Mass Index (BMI)	N:650	
underweight (13-18.4 kg/ m ²)	80	12.3
normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m ²)	400	61.5
overweight (25-29.9 kg/m ²)	120	18.5
obese (30-34.9 kg/m ²)	50	7.7

* responded by more than one pregnant women.

Table-II: Distribution of GDM frequency by demographic and clinical characteristics.

Characteristics	GDM (%)		%	Chi Square	p
	Yes	No			
Age (years)					
23-28	2.5	97.5	100.0	1.455	0.345*
29-34	12.5	87.5	100.0		
34-39	75.0	25.0	100.0		
Educational status					
Primary School	10.5	89.5	100.0		
Secondary School	13.6	96.4	100.0	8.001	0.678
High School & above	11.4	88.6	100.0		
Occupation					
Yes	15.6	84.4	100.0	9.988	0.978
No	12.2	87.8	100.0		
Number of pregnancies					
Primigravida	13.5	86.5	100.0	7.624	0.645
Multigravida	10.9	89.1	100.0		
Parity					
Primipara	12.3	87.7	100.0	5.456	0.554
Multipara	13.5	86.5	100.0		
Family history of diabetes					
Yes	35.0	65.0	100.0	3.456	0.222*
No	12.0	88.0	100.0		
GDM during the previous pregnancy					
Yes	100.0	0.0	100.0	7.456	0.002*
No	5.5	94.5	100.0		
Body Mass Index (BMI)					
under weight (13-18.4 kg/ m ²)	2.5	97.5	100.0		
normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m ²)	10.0	90.0	100.0	1.799	0.234*
overweight (25-29.9 kg/m ²)	50.0	50.0	100.0		
obese (30-34.9 kg/m ²)	75.0	25.0	100.0		

*P <0.05

number of parity had no effect on the risk of GDM (p< 0.05) (Table-II).

DISCUSSION

GDM is defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy and it generally develops during the 2nd and 3rd trimester of the pregnancy, in conjunction with the diabetogenic effect of HPL secretion through placental blood circulation after 14th week of pregnancy.^{2,8} In addition to this physiological effect, glucose intolerance and obesity also may play a role in the development GDM.^{9,14,15} In turn, GDM may increase the risk of a number of pregnancy-related complications such as spontaneous abortion

and preeclampsia and the risk of fetal complications such as macrosomia, hyperbilirubinemia, polycythemia, and hypocalcemia, contributing to increased number of neonatal deaths and cesarean sections.^{2,9,10} Therefore GDM screening is important both for the maternal and fetal health. On the other hand, some experts hold the view that routine GDM screening may actually not be necessary due to the absence of solid data that show a high complication rate among women with GDM.^{1,8,13} In addition, opinions as to the timing of GDM screening differ. For instance, according to WHO all pregnant women should be screened for GDM between 24th and 28th week of pregnancy.⁸ On the other hand, ACOG (2001) and American Diabetes Association (ADA, 2004) recommend that high-risk pregnant women (age \geq 25 years, obese, previous history of GDM, presence of a large fetus for gestational age, glucosuria and polycystic ovary) be screened in the first trimester while screening between the 24th and 28th weeks of pregnancy may be appropriate for the remaining pregnant women.^{1,13} If there are no known risk factors, ACOG (2001) also endorses the "no-screen" option.¹³ In our study site, high-risk pregnant women were screened in the first trimester as suggested by ACOG (2001) and ADA (2004) while others were screened between 24th and 28th weeks.^{1,13} With this screening schedule, 6.9% of the pregnant women participating in our study were found to have GDM.

The reported GDM incidence in the literature varies. The figure reported by ADA (2004) was 4% in 2004, while rates between 4.9% and 12.8% were reported among high-risk populations such as the native tribes and North Californian natives in the US.¹ In a study by Brody et al. (2003) 1 to 6% of the pregnant women developed hyperglycemia and GDM, similar to our observations.⁶ However, the detected prevalence of GDM in our population, i.e. 6.9%, is somewhat higher than those previously reported in other Turkish populations, which range between 1 and 5%. These differences may probably be explained on the basis of the differences in study populations in terms of sample size or age. Literature data suggest that a number of factors including advanced age, obesity, genetic disposition and a previous history of GDM may increase the risk of GDM.^{9,14-19} Similar to these suggestions, age, BMI, family history of diabetes, and GDM in previous pregnancy emerged as important risk factors for GDM in our study with a statistically significant contribution of these factors (p<0.05) to the occurrence of GDM. Studies by Turgut et al. and

Dunhbai et al. provide a clear support for the role of advanced age in the development of GDM.^{18,19} In the former study, other risk factors for GDM included a history of DM in the first-degree relatives and a history of GDM in previous pregnancy.¹⁹ Similarly, the studies by Di Cianni et al. and Kaya pointed out to advanced age and BMI as risk factors for GDM.^{20,21} Our findings are in agreement with these reports.

CONCLUSIONS

As a result, it was seen in the study that diabetes developed among the 6.9% of women. It was noted that there was a positive correlation between age, family diabetes history and past gestational diabetes, and gestational diabetes. Based on the study findings; the following recommendations are made:

1. The women who are admitted to the follow-up polyclinics for pregnant women due to diagnosis and treatment should be provided with counseling about diabetogenic features of pregnancy and should be informed about the importance of screening tests.
2. Especially those who are aged over 35 and have diabetes family history and/or past pregnancy diabetes, high BMI should be followed-up more closely in gestational diabetes.
3. The future studies on GDM should be undertaken with bigger sample sizes through randomized controlled groups.

Note: This study was presented as an oral presentation in the 4th World Congress on Diabetes and Metabolism, August 14-16, 2013 Chicago-North Shore, IL, USA.

REFERENCES

1. American Diabetes Association. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27(Suppl 1):88-90.
2. Gilmartin A, Ural S, Repke J. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Rev Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;1(3):129-134.
3. Berger H, Crane J, Farine D. Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus. *JOGC*. 2002;24:894-912.
4. Feig DS, Palda VA. Type 2 diabetes pregnancy: A growing concern. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9318):1690-1692. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08599-9
5. Kim C, Newton KM, Knopp RH. Gestational diabetes and the incidence of Type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25:1862-1868. doi:10.2337/diacare.25.10.1862
6. Brody SC, Harris R, Lohr K. Screening for gestational diabetes: a summary of the evidence from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;101(2):380-392. doi:10.1016/S0029-7844(02)03057-0
7. Ferrara A, Kahn H, Qesenberry C, Riley C, Hedderson M. An increase in the incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus: North California. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;103(3):526-533.
8. World Health Organization. Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycemia: A report of WHO/IDF consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006.
9. Kim C. Gestational diabetes: risks, management and treatment options. *Int J Womens Health*. 2010;2:339-351. doi:10.2147/IJWH.S13333
10. Jolly MC, Sebire NJ, Harris JP, Regan L, Robinson S. Risk factors for macrosomia and its clinical consequences: a study of 350,311 pregnancies. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2003;111: 9-14. doi:10.1016/S0301-2115(03)00154-4
11. Schwartz R, Teramo KA. Effects of diabetic pregnancy on the fetus and newborn. *Semin Perinatol*. 2000;24(2):120-135. doi:10.1053/sp.2000.6363
12. Ayaz A, Saeed S, Farooq M, Bahoo M, Hanif K. Gestational diabetes mellitus diagnosed in different periods of gestation and neonatal outcome. *Dicle Tıp Dergisi*. 2009;36(4):235-240.
13. ACOG Practice Bulletin. Clinical management guidelines for obstetrician-gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;98(3):525-538.
14. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion number 315, September 2005. Obesity in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;106(3):671-675.
15. Kim S, England L, Wilson H, Bish C, Satten G, Dietz P. Percentage of gestational diabetes mellitus attributable to overweight and obesity. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(6):1047-1052. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2009.172890
16. Erem C, Cihanyurdu N, Deger O, Karahan C, Can G, Telatar M. Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus in Northeastern Turkey. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2003;18(1):39-43.
17. Tanir HM, Şener T, Gürer H, Kaya M. A ten-year gestational diabetes mellitus cohort at a University Clinic of Themid-Anatolian Region of Turkey. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;32(4):241-244.
18. Turgut A, Boran SÜ, Dolgun ZN, Acioğlu H, Görük NY. The Frequency of gestational diabetes mellitus in a maternity hospital antepartum clinic. *Dicle Med J*. 2011;38(3):325-328. doi:10.5798/diclemedj.0921.2011.03.0041
19. Dudhbai M, Lim L, Bombard A. Characteristics of patients with abnormal glucose challenge test and normal oral glucose tolerance test results: comparison with normal and gestational diabetic patient. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;194(5):42-45. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2005.11.031
20. Di Cianni G, Seghieri G, Lencioni C. Normal glucose tolerance and gestational diabetes mellitus: what is in between? *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(7):1783-1788. doi:10.2337/dc07-0119
21. Kaya H. Evaluation of distorted fasting blood glucose assessment among the pregnant women. Master's Thesis, Haseki Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, 2007.